Iran National Economic Plan

Iran

from Sanctions into Leadership

(extended description)

Introduction

Objectives & Methods

Guidelines & Control

Realization & Cooperation

Umbrella

Addenda

Introduction

This paper describes more in detail the comprehensive model we propose for Iran to get out of the sanctions imposed by the Global West and into leadership for the Global South.

It doesn't go too deep into every mentioned detail (as it otherwise would become too much to read): it just describes an environment/realm that could be created very fast i.e. instantly.

We recommend that besides this paper all the communication with Ambassadors Salari (Embassy Bern) and Gharibabadi (Embassy The Hague) will be assessed too for more details.

We thank both Ambassadors for their listening ear and reserved time. Without those we would not have gone as far as we did in investing time to develop and describe.

We also want to thank Vital Burger of the Freundeskreises (Circle of Friends) Schweiz-Iran who introduced William and later on me by Ambassador Salari so we could explain our models.

Please forgive any possible grammatical errors: I'm not a native English speaker/writer (as I'm from Holland and not from the UK or the USA).

This paper is not about some theory, it's about i.e. focused on making it happen. Not sometime in the future, but here and now: as the results are needed here and now.

Out of the sanctions into leadership. If this line has your interest, this paper will satisfy you, taking some time to read will deliver you much of what you want.

Gijs Graafland

Planck Foundation

(www.planck.org)

(in full mutual cooperation with F. William Engdahl)

Objectives

Breaking General Sanctions – The first and most important objective is to dissolve the general sanctions imposed by the Global West that obstruct the development of the Iranian Economy very much (even as there are also upsides like replacing imports by national production).

Changing Global Opinion – Getting rid of the general sanctions needs a change of global opinion on Iran. This is exactly what we'll realize by our energy plan and the attached global advertising and PR. When the by the global imperialists feed anti-Iran propaganda is undermined in the way we proposed, the global public opinion foundation where their sanction policies are build on just slide away i.e. will be washed away. The imperialists become powerless if their propaganda model fails. The global public opinion could be changed on short term and almost instantly by the campaign we described in detail in earlier communication.

Enhancing Technology – Acquiring foreign technologies. Not by spying, but by buying. Not by just buying the technology (with out the engineering and production), but by taking stakes in foreign manufacturers of products needed and than copying the technology together with the engineering and production to Iran. The commercial way to acquire enhanced technologies. The smart way to get grip on the engineering too. The wise way to get production up and running in shortest time.

Opening Technology – The Global West believes very much in protecting their head start position. Even if they are drowning in debt and lost most of their manufacturing and their economies are mainly consumer debt driven. Patents are the way for them to do that: preventing the emerging nations to succeed ever: as the profit of all their production will be 'taxed' away by the patent owners in the Global West. Patent law is using the legal system of one land to repress the economic development of that land. The claim that patent law increases innovation has been proven a myth. Take for example Apple: Each modern Apple Operating System has Linux at its core. Do we need to say more? Open source technologies are developing much faster than any propitiatory shielded technology. It's obvious why: propitiatory technologies are developed by only a fraction of the resources which boost open technology development. No matter how rich a propitiatory technology developer is: the funding will always deliver only a fraction of the resources that open technology will get. Patent legislation is the new global tax of the Global West to slow down and water down the economic development of the Global East and Global South. It will replace the global tax that the dollar system was on the world (purchasing raw materials and manufactured goods in exchange for in a fiat money system dominated line of US and UK governmental bonds) that was based as the dollar is speeding up towards collapse. Patent law is the new way to repress and skim the economic developments of the Global East and Global South by the old world order i.e. imperial powers of the Global West. Any emerging nation that chooses for patent legislation instead of open technology is building its own economic prison and own prosperity skim plan. Patents are the new face of usury to blackmail and extort nations and businesses. Open Technology is defended by a) publication, b) accessibility of the publications and c) legal defense structures that sues any corporation that takes technology out of the public domain and try to make it their propitiatory asset. Fighting patents is similar to fighting usury.

Enhancing Science – Changing the global opinion on Iran will also deliver the Iranian Universities a much more open world for science exchange with foreign universities. The Iranian People are very high educated: so Iran could benefit very much of this. By the use of our model we will take stakes in manufacturing companies that produce products that Iran wants to produce too. The research of these companies will be shared with both Iranian businesses as with Iranian universities: giving them a) a new feed and b) new sparing partners to develop mutual research further.

Opening Science – Iran should take the lead in Open Science. The universities of the Global West are all focused on the making new science propitiatory assets of the corporations of the Global West. As new science items can be used in many fields, it makes the core of these

many new fields their imperial asset and tool. Open Science is defended by a) publication, b) accessibility of the publications and c) legal defense structures that sues any corporation that takes science out of the public domain and try to make it their propitiatory asset. Fighting patents is similar to fighting usury.

Multiplying Capital - The powers behind global finance aren't capable in thinking/acting in a mutual interest way: they're parasites that just want to loot nations of their natural resources and use the limited purchase power of these nations as foreign markets for their interests. This is why they fund con man like Soros to destroy currencies by betting against them. This is why they have installed the dollar as global reserve currency (printing almost unlimited money within their banking cartel) that imposes a global imperial tax on other nations (delivering real stuff in exchange of fake money). The financial masters of the earth are behind wars and sanctions. Their game is a) global wealth concentration by imperialism (at the cost of all other nations than the USA and UK) and b) domestic wealth concentration by parasitism (at the cost of the people of the USA and UK). Our model of multiplying capital uses the capital infra of all nations where money creation has gone wild (USA, UK and Japan) in their attempt to reverse their economic decline, plus the infra of China (to get the normal protection a global super power delivers to all it companies). Out model uses the powers that are unleashed to tackle Iran's economy (and by that it government) in a reversed way to support the emerge of Iran (and thereby it's government). If powers are against you, use these powers in your benefit. Turn head wind into windfall. Do like the old Chinese martial arts: using the weight of the counter party against the counter party. We use many methods to realize this abroad (which delivers a workaround for the sanctions too).

Power Generation – From 70 GW to 700 GW within 5 years. This is extended described in our proposal delivered on July 17, 2013 to Ambassador Salari of the Iranian Embassy in Bern under the title 'power generation in Iran (for Iran's own demand and for export) - a comprehensive proposal'. Repeating it here would be double, please read this paper if you're interested in this subject. It describes how to install/finance 200 GW Solar Power, 200 GW Desert Power and 200 GW Geothermal Power, all together an increase of 600 GW. Power generation is the mother of all economic progress. It also would make the energy intensive pre-processing of raw materials possible: which will deliver Iran significant benefits in both value adding and market reach.

Power Export – From 10 GW to 225 GW within 5 years. This is extended described in our proposal delivered on July 17, 2013 to Ambassador Salari of the Iranian Embassy in Bern under the title 'power generation in Iran (for Iran's own demand and for export) - a comprehensive proposal'. Repeating it here would be double, please read this paper if you're interested in this subject. Power export could deliver Iran very good neighbor bilateral relations (power lines grow by their nature automatically mutual interest cooperation) and deliver Iran income from also exporting electrons besides for exporting molecules (gas/oil).

Power Lines – For exporting power from 10 GW to 225 GW within 5 years, the power lines towards neighboring countries should be exponential increased. Delivering Iran a head start in power line technology/engineering/installing in both HVAC and HVDC models which also will lead to large volume power infrastructure orders out of neighboring nations.

Industrial Production – Due to the model that we will buy stakes in foreign industries and than copy their research, technology and engineering to Iranian businesses and universities the position of Iranian manufactured goods on the world market will be enhanced significant: as it will deliver a merger of western research, technology and engineering with Iranian research, technology and engineering. Iran has a high quality research, technology and engineering environment. So it will not copying, but far more merging. The western manufacturers in which we will take a stake will get in return the research, technology and engineering of the Iranian universities. So for them it's not only having a new financial partner, but also having a new technological partner (often on a level they don't have right now: universities in the Global West are not that much interested in cooperation with the manufacturing economic sector). Increasing industrial production delivers a more diversified economy and prevents economic and monetary draining by too much imports. It also will make

the Iranians even more proud on their national achievements. It will create a mass volume of market funded/driven jobs for the young unemployed: delivering them an economic framework to build an own family and take care of the elders in their family.

Industrial Export – As said by Industrial Production: the position of Iranian manufactured goods on the world market will be enhanced significant, in technology, in design and also by market leads of the western companies in which we have taken a stake, resulting is fast emerging export volumes. Increasing industrial production delivers a more diversified economy and prevents economic and monetary draining by too much imports. It also will make the Iranians even more proud on their national achievements. It will create a mass volume of market funded/driven jobs for the young unemployed: delivering them an economic framework to build an own family and take care of the elders in their family.

Medical Production – The market of medical diagnosis equipment is dominated by corporations out of the Global West. There is a lot 'low hanging fruit' in this area to harvest. The best example is the storage of medical images. Currently this is done in very expensive proprietary databases with attached data storage. Using/promoting an open source environment for this application would reduce the costs and increase the quality/availability and by that improve health care. Such an open source environment is the Osirix Dicom database (making storage and viewing totally expensive branded equipment independent). But there's a lot more of these low hanging fruit. Iran could become a global leader in affordable health care/diagnosis/treatment equipment and software. Taking a stake in these open source projects (just as described in Industrial Production etc), would make Iran instant a global leader in this economic sector for pennies on the dollar.

Medical Tourism – Iran's health care is very advanced. Iran's health care could become a huge global service. Health treatment tourism is something that Iran could explore very much and its revenues could pay for the domestic health care (talking the funding burden of 6.6 % of GDP of the back of the governmental budgets). Exploration of this huge opportunity needs first the in earlier communication described/proposed global PR campaign. There's one downside on this: every success delivers a lifetime promoter for Iran, but every failure does the same in reverse. As medical practice has some fault percentage (as it is human work and ho human is perfect all the time), the PR risk of it should be assessed before getting started with this.

Health Improvement – Due better city air quality the overall health in the cities will increase significant. Chinese studies show a 5 year life time expectation decline due to bad city air quality. This is not only bag of personal problems and pain, leaving kids earlier without their parents. It affects most of all the young children. More electric traffic in the city and more clean GTL (Gas To Liquid) fuels in city cars/trucks/busses all together have a huge positive effect on the nation's wellbeing as on the nation's health budgets.

Desert Exploitation – Iran has a lot of desert areas. These now almost economic dead areas will become regions of economic emerge. Desert Power will make parts of these deserts to power supplying locations. The attached salt water and sweet water aquaculture will deliver voluminous flora and fauna exploration (and will result in lot of vaporization and by that more modest temperature both day and night). The live-stock model of the Savory Institute could be implemented (greening the deserts along it's borders). See http://on.ted.com/Savory for a lecture on this model. The whole concept of desert exploitation will become also a huge export product of Iran (as there are deserts enough i.e. too much in several nations).

Water Generation – The concept of Desert Exploitation (the combo of Desert Power and Desert Aquaculture) will lead to enormous volumes of sweet water production. This water add up with the natural water flows (that is and will become even more good regulated by hydro dams). The geological methods of Vladimir Kutcherov of the Royal Institute of Technology in Stockholm could locate underground sweet water aquifers too. Kutcherov's work/methods in geology could become very import for Iran's hydrocarbon sector and water sector. Another huge source of water could be using the night dew by creation of small elevation. The best and most simple example of the non/low tech solution is the fact that the paths the German

General Rommel his tanks took through the North African Desert are green till to day: just fly over North Africa and you will see the path Rommel took as green lint through the desert. Harvesting dew will become a very import model in just on time just in place water creation without any equipment needed. This is one of the drivers of the Savory anti-desert model.

Agriculture Production - Only the concept of duck weed cultivation in aquaculture alone could prevent any import of genetic modified soy of Monsanto and by that save Iran out of the hands of the US/UK global food/dna monopoly they are installing right now for as the dollar will no longer deliver them both power and revenues to back their imperial ambiances. Monsanto certainly should be listed in the top 10 of threats to Iran's national security. Not only of food security, but their 'free trade' agreements make any non-US product flow on the world very difficult by the by them pushed IPR/patent models and extra-juridical powers that these agreements delivers to US companies to seize/hinder any product flow they don't like with the burder of proof not for them. As duck weed delivers 7 times more protein per acre than soy, Monsanto's soy is not needed for maintaining intensive live stocks. With the Savory model intensive live stocks will be replaced by rural live stocks with higher yields than the intensive model. It also prevents economic/monetary drains caused by food/protein imports. Furthermore could the Iranian meat production rise to very high levels by using the planned grassing method of the Savory Institute. A concept that could harvest both the borders of the deserts and green them, but also could be used in hillside areas. In Virginia some farmers use the Savory method on regular grasslands and they get double yields on the same surface volume. Iran should not follow mainstream US agricultural policies as they make the soils more poor instead of richer. Iran should choose for agriculture with high yields that respects nature. Not fighting against nature, but use the forces of nature as power behind the models. The two above mentioned methods do that, but there are so much more examples of this. Examples that could eliminate the need for any agricultural commodity import. Examples that could make Iran a global producer of so much more/different agricultural crops.

Agricultural Export – As the agricultural production increases severely due to the used models, agricultural exports could sky rocking, delivering Iran economic and monetary progress. But also could channel economic development to rural areas: delivering them a piece of the economic pie too.

Agriculture Diversity – As the USA is heading to less crop diversity, Iran should move to more crop diversity. More crop diversity delivers higher yields and lower costs (purchase and appliance of chemicals). Crop diversity is about making the soil more rich, crop monocultures exhaust/deplete the soil and by that whole regions. Crop diversity also makes the farmers and the food system and the exports less vulnerable to crop failures. Agricultural diversity could become a huge export product for Iran that could be used in ECO and NAM relations (with the attached export of agricultural installations and machinery).

Aquaculture Production – Aquaculture is the yet unknown and there unexplored diamond of global food supply. Both in flora and in fauna. Aquaculture could deliver a full closed cycle of food production starting with weed farms in the seas, rivers, channels, hydro power water reservoirs and desert power attached sweet and salt water basins, till voluminous protein harvesting (both from fish feed with weeds as from the weeds themselves). Sweet water duck weed is a great flora example to illustrate this: it delivers per acre annual 7 times (!!) more protein than soy (eliminating the need for any Monsanto soy imports: why funding the enemy if it's not needed): duck weed is the horror crop for the global food elite: it threatens directly Monsanto's soy driven/funded global food domination agenda. The 'only' thing that's needed is clean sea and inland water with no water pollution. If that condition is met, the potential of aquaculture is beyond expectation. Iran could be the first nation (and by that the leading nation) that explores the huge possibilities of aquaculture. It will boost both national food production, as nation food production surpluses that could be exported (with all the economic and monetary benefits of that), as national food science (making Iranian universities global leading in this area).

Increasing Mining – Iran is very rich in natural resources. The mining industry could grow significant the following decade. The increased prosperity in the Global East and Global South

all demands more minerals. Increasing mining that could be beneficiary to Iranian businesses, Iranian universities and last but not least very direct to the Iranian state budgets (as the state could collect 50% of the revenues of mining companies in exchange for the mining license. Mining not only need geologic knowledge/experience. It also needs mining equipment. Mining equipment build in Iran so that the Iranian economy benefits of the mineral exploration also by mining equipment manufacturing. We will take stakes in foreign mining equipment manufacturers and than copy their research, knowledge, technology, engineering and brands to Iran's businesses and universities. But exporting ore is the model of yesterday. The model of today is processing the raw materials in the nation of origin to harvest more value adding by this processing. Value adding that empowers the economy and therefore the state budgets too. Regarding to processing (for example: from exporting iron ore towards exporting iron nuggets) we could do the same. Regarding iron ore to iron nuggets we have a very concrete model by the ITmk3 (Iron Technology mark 3) technology of Kobe Steel. We also could take a stake in a western mining truck manufacturer (a challenger of the hegemony of Caterpillar in this sector) and copy their research/technology/engineering/production to Iran to make Iranian mining more independent of import of machinery.

Increasing Oil/Gas - Although the Global West wants to crush the Iranian economy by stripping it from its last customers in the Global East by the unilateral declared new sanction that are installed this summer: the customers in the Global East will not accept those unilateral imposed sanctions. They already have said that. The Global West is no longer the boss of the world (although they are still the dominant factor), the Global East and Global South are jail breaking out of this geo-political and geo-economic prison. A prison that only has one objective: controlling the world's energy supply and by that imposing force on emerging economies that threatens their hegemony. As long as the Global East and Global South are emerging they will have an unlimited lust for oil/gas. As you probably may know we don't buy into the Global Warming theory: we think it's a false theory that's totally blind for solar/geo/universal physics and is installed/promoted out of anti-human western elites driven malthusian ideology. As you probably may know we don't buy much into the Peak Oil theory too: it also totally ignores geo-physics. The best example in the perspective is Titan: Titan is full of hydrocarbons. Where there so much dinosaurs on Titan?. So an increasing oil/gas exploration is a good thing in our perspectives. And yes we don't like pollution (who does?): so Iran should become not a global leader in clean hydrocarbon exploration and processing. Gas pipelines will be boycotted by the Global West (even if they have to ignite civil wars, like they did in Syria), so Iran should focus on gas pipelines to neighboring and nearby nations and even more on exporting the natural gas embedded (in products). Iran and China, Iran and India, Iran, India and China will arrange also exports by ships over the high seas. Protected by their respected navies. But the export focus should be diverted away from the high seas (as their choke points like the Straits of Malacca could threaten a steady 'flow' and interrupt the export flow instantly). The Russian/Ukraine geophysical model could help to find new oil/gas/water reservoirs: it certainly helped the Russians in finding resources. One of the leading experts of this today not so popular science is a scholar within our organization. See furthermore also the Increasing Processing part below: that could increase/diversify market demand (and therefore exploration volumes) significant. See also the WEO and WDO descriptions in the Umbrella part of this proposal: they will deliver Iran many extra economic bilateral contacts on many other economic activities in which Iran could also trade it's hydrocarbon resources with peers without any influence of the global imperial powers nor their fiat imperial currencies. Undermining the concept of parasitic global imperial superpowers by mutual interests based win/win bilaterials.

Pipe Lines – Processed energy (fertilizer, GTL, PV crystals, etc) can be exported by train. The export of un/semi processed energy must be done by ship (oil, LNG) or by pipe (oil, gas). Building pipeline infra towards neighboring/regional nations of the Global East with energy deficits is a far more better option than focusing on exports via Iraq and Syria towards the sinking economy of West Europe. Finding partnerships in Russia and China to do so is also important. The high seas are getting politicized by NATO forces in rapid speed, making high seas transit routes less stable and vulnerable for global politics of the imperial powers. China and Russia understands this very much too.

Increasing Processing - Export of non-processed oil/gas/minerals is the first phase of

nations on the natural resources path. First this will be done by imperial powers. Later on this will be done by national industries. These national industries will fund the state with 50% of their sales price (a price determined by global markets i.e. 'at arm length' to prevent deals that don't give the state it's 50%). The following phase in the exploration of national resources is building all needed equipment domestic (which will increase the national knowledge levels resource exploration significant. The than following phase in the exploration of national resources is starting pre-processing them domestically. So no longer export of natural gas only, but also export of natural gas turned into GTL as clean fuel for the mobility in the big global cities. So no longer export of natural gas only, but also export of natural gas turned into fertilizer. So no longer export of iron ore only, but also export of iron ore turned into iron nuggets. All these domestic pre-export processing delivers more value adding to the national economy (and therefore empowering the national government by taxes and also empowering the own currency by a stronger economy). Exporting raw materials/energy is a waste of value adding potential. The market for raw materials/energy is also very much controlled by the imperial powers of the Global West too: focused on their control/profit/agenda. The market demand of raw materials/energy also needs big flows to big partners, as the market demand of processed materials/energy is much more heterogeneous/divers: there are more parties to sell to, making the market more balanced in power between supply/demand (bypassing the dinosaurs that wants to control these markets). Also is the logistics (and thereby market reach) of processed raw materials/energy much more easy. For export of natural gas you need a pipeline with all it's geopolitical and surveillance and maintenance risks. For export of fertilizer you need a factory and harbor that you need to protect against terror: much more easy to protect and from that harbor any global harbor can be reached with the processed product. Solar panel crystals are another perfect example of exporting embedded energy with a value added. Processing raw materials/energy delivers more value adding and a bigger market to serve.

Reducing Imports – Imports are both an economic as a monetary drain. Besides that it reduces the number of jobs in Iran and represses technological development in Iran. Governments should publish a list of imported goods every month: it will stimulate domestic manufacturing very much. Publishing a monthly imported goods list is a very simple to realize governmental action (data can be feed by the national custom agency) to steer economic development without any further governmental investment or action. By the use of our model all Iranian businesses and Iranian universities could obtain foreign technology/engineering and production methods. Reducing imports than will not longer a dream, but become reality.

Increasing Exports – Exports deliver both an economic and a monetary empowerment. It increases the number of jobs in Iran and stimulates the technological development of Iran by market funding. By the use of our model all Iranian businesses and Iranian universities could obtain foreign technology/engineering and production methods. Increasing exports than will not longer a dream, but become reality.

Diversifying Exports – Exports should be as diverse as possible. State planning of exports always results in less diversity of exports. Businesses should produce by entrepreneurship products that the domestic and foreign markets demand. The more exports are diversified, the less vulnerable the economy (and thereby the government) of a nation is. By the use of our model all Iranian businesses and Iranian universities could obtain foreign technology/engineering and production methods, something that will automatically results in diversifying of exports.

Sea Hubs – As Iran emerge as a manufacturing nation sea transport volume will increase significant in the coming years. This asks for bigger and deeper harbors. Making Iran the biggest i.e. most important regional container hub of the Persian Gulf is a target that than could be met. By the use of the model of National Innovation we propose this will lead to new specific (dredging) shipbuilding industries, new specific cay building, new specific container crane manufacturing industries, etc. Our umbrella (describe lateron in this paper) will take care of taking stakes in global companies that already have this technology and we will copy that technology to Iranian companies and universities.

Rail Hubs – Iran is located very central in EurAsia. No wonder that the Global West wants to occupy i.e. control the nation. This central location could make Iran a very good cargo hub. Not only regarding a sea container hub, but also regarding rail traffic. In rail traffic the hubs are crucial in delivering transit speed: in rail most of the transit time is wasted in non efficient hub structure.

Rail Schedules – Rail cargo will become very important in the next decade. The global high seas are controlled by the Global West and even China will not be able to deliver them some counter weight in the next decade. The high seas therefore have become a very much politicized global asset controlled by just one fraction of the world. This is the first reason why Russia and China are refocusing very much on rail cargo. But there are three other reasons why rail will boom. 1) rail is very fast, 2) rail reaches any city, 3) rail can become much cheaper, 4) rail can become much more faster and 5) rail disclose the non-coastal inner lands of all nations of the world. Rail will become a huge facet is interconnecting by healthy win/win mutual interests based bilaterals in the Global Heartland (as geo strategists call EurAsia). The first step will not creating new rail ways (as that demands a lot of investments and therefore is the wrong way to start). The first step will be installing (planning) rail schedules from Tehran to all big cities in range to 3,000 kilometer. This will deliver Iran so much benefits: delivering the Iranian industry logistical access to market of nearly 50% of the world population. Russia will very much welcome and support this development, as they will profit also very much by it.

Rail Exports – In the process of setting up these rail schedules on existing rail lines, the cargo volume will increase significant. This will deliver an economic foundation for extension of the rail track network. If Iran is the leading nation in the rail schedule development, it will become also the leading nation in the rail track extension trade. Which will demand a lot of (preprocessed and final processed) steel for rails and rolling stock, delivering huge export potential with more than just export benefits (as it connects Iran more and more with the EurAsia).

National Fairs – Organizing in all nations that could deliver demand for Iranian products a National Fair of Iran could be very effective. We will do that under the cover of a global fair organizer (that also serves other nations). It will deliver a possibility for Iranian businesses and universities to present themselves and their products/services to foreign nations. It will be combined with an Iranian food event and will have air time exposure on the radio and television channels plus internet media in those nations.

Economic Growth – Economic growth is not about getting decadent. Economic growth is about given young people the economics to start and maintain a family. If there's anything a nation with young demographics needs is it economic growth. Severe economic growth. It's about channeling the energy of the youth into the economy or it will be turbulence all over the place. Economic growth delivers stability. Economic decline delivers turbulence. Economic 'growth' on credit (fake growth) delivers turbulence too.

Wealth Distribution – Wealth inequality is something that increases tensions in a nation. Wealth distribution by high taxes on income/profits has this effect too. Socialism is very much about subsidizing non contribution. Still there should be social legislation in place that could function as a 'safety net' for people to protect them against sudden changes (and yes the family/community is also a very important factor in this). But the best wealth distribution is that of an emerging job volume. More jobs means more people with income and more jobs also means higher wages per job (job market supply/demand mechanism). More job with wages on that level that not both parents have to work full time (or even more like currently happens in the USA) just to earn a minimal living. Wealth distribution is crucial for national satisfaction/rest/stability. Emerging employment is crucial for realizing wealth distribution i.e. fighting extreme wealth inequality. The implementation of our models will deliver an emerging iob market as existing businesses will hire more people and new businesses will hire loads of people. Entrepreneurship can't be imposed to people: they are entrepreneurs or they don't are entrepreneurs. The WDO part of our model will supply ready to roll-out small business concepts (with attached training). Growing a new generation of entrepreneurs is very important for a nation: they will pick up the new opportunities/challenges and make economy out of it. Maybe we should not say anything on anything, but just emphasize that an emerging

job volume, delivers market driven (i.e. governmental budget independent) wealth creation and by that it narrows internal wealth gaps that could deliver troubles on the long run. The Wall Street financial capitalism model delivers widening wealth inequality i.e. wealth concentration (http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2013/08/15/income-inequality-wall-street_n_3762422.html). The Main Street production capitalism model delivers narrowing of wealth inequality. Someone who describes the difference between and the direction of those two models very clearly is Michael Hudson of the University of Missouri in Kansas City (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Michael Hudson_%28economist%29), which is a critic of US global/imperial domination too.

Rural Development – Rural Development is one of the most important facets of each nation, as it prevents both economic decline in not central i.e. rural regions and the emerge of poor neighborhoods (with the attached unrest) in the cities. It also diversifies the strength of a nation. China for example has developed a rural development model to address these issues. It also prevents unrest in the rural i.e. not central regions (avoiding a 'the government is doing nothing for us' unrest). Rural Development is a matter of national security.

SMB Focus – The big job creation diamond that is should be discovered/polished is getting the Small and Medium Businesses (SMB) culture up and running. In all economies of the world the SMBs are the main employment creators/deliverers and they also are very much self supporting: this realm of any economy is very stable, very diverse, very geographic and therefore has no need for governmental economic interventions. Taking care of getting more SMBs more growth can be done by a) creating a realm of open technology (as we will do by WEO and WDO: later more on this) and b) creating a realm of suppliers towards the big corporations by internal national outsourcing. A good stable national economy has a mix of i.e. symbiosis from many SMBs and several large corporations: both have unique 'specifications' and both are needed.

Minorities Care – Taking care of the economic development opportunities of minorities is one of the most important facets of each nation, certainly in nations where there's one big majority and many different minorities. This applies to any nation in the Middle East and Central Asia. Taking care of the economic development opportunities of minorities also diversifies the strength of a nation. China for example has developed development models to address the economic development of minorities. Delivering the minorities economic possibilities also prevents unrest within the minorities (avoiding a 'the government is doing nothing for us' unrest). Addressing the economic development of minorities is a matter of national security.

Job Creation – All nations with young demographics have one big challenge: creating an economy that supplies jobs to the youth. Without an economic progress that creates market driven jobs for the young masses any nation with a young demographics will face a very turbulent future. Without enough job creation the nations with young demographics will become more unstable each day. Not by wish of the youth (nobody wants instability), but just because it is so: youth with out jobs is youth that wanders towards unrest.

Fighting Inflation – Inflation ruins the saving of those who saved for a rainy day or their retirement. A currency is just 1) a medium of exchange and 2) an unit of account: a currency is seldom a solid storage of value. Still a currency should be able to be a stable storage of value, without challenge the development of a mainly on this wrong presumption based parasitic financial industry that only stimulates wealth concentration and inequality. Storage of value should never be done in a financial economy, but in a productive economy. That will make the everybody happy in an assets driven economy (instead of an inequality growth delivering debt driven society/economy). The nations of the Global West liked inflation very much as their economic model is since the 70ties not assets driven but debt/usury driven to the max. The value of the Rial has been damaged. This is will stop when there's instant economic growth (that can be realized by our model). Furthermore could the EQE/EBS combo (see our separate description of this model) empower the trust in the value of the Rial as it will back the Rial by energy investments that are active producing value. Empowering the Rial is about instant realizing economic growth and about implementing the EQE/EBS combo. When the foreign funding of imports is done by proxy (in the way we described in our proposal)

imports no longer will harm the value of the Rial. When the assets backed central bank liquidity is created/used (in the way we described in our proposal by national infrastructure/energy/assets backing), than money creation will no longer harm the value of the Rial, but will deliver an asset backed currency that's rather unique in a world of sliding away fiat money and will create external demand for the Rial (in a world where the value of currencies is falling everywhere). Making the Rial an assets/investments/energy backed currency will make the Rial more appear in bilateral trade deals. The more Iran will export, the more the value of Rial will be stable.

Iranian Stability – Economic growth solves the two major potential national turbulence delivering developments: 1) high (youth) unemployment and 2) high inflation. The first hits the youth, the last hits all others who have saved somewhat for their retirement. Using our model to create instant economic growth will not only solve youth unemployment and high inflation, it also will deliver national stability/security by removing those two potential tension magnets. Addressing the economic development of rural areas and of ethnic minorities also contributes very much to the national security.

Iranian Culture – The Iranian culture itself is not damaged by the negative propaganda the Global West has pushed into the global public opinion. This is something to remember and to emphasize. Iranian culture has even become more rich/stable/proud by these external pressure. But preventing any kind of foreign intervention is ensuring/maintaining/protecting Iranian culture. Iranian culture doesn't need a hostile take over by quiet other minded foreign powers that don't honor the sovereignty of nations. Emerging economic development will undermine i.e. make totally powerless/irrelevant the foreign influence powers that just want a switch of government that could re-install their before nationalization of the hydro carbon reserves in 1951 unfair looting schemes.

Iranian Peace – The predicted 'Clash of Civilizations' is not necessary at all. The Global West has to adapt to their new economic (and therefore cultural, monetary and military: all are fruits of economic growth or decline) realities. Proclaiming/cherishing peace without any budget cut for Defense is the way to go. Being strong starts with economic performance. Being wise is the challenge for all powerful. The US and UK have failed this test (combining power with wisdom/restraint). A unipolar world in not right: not globally, not regional: nobody is 100% perfect. Power don't bear honesty that much. Peace is about being economic strong.

Iranian Influence – Implementing the comprehensive proposal we made will support the Iranian influence on the Global East and Global South. Not an imperial/power/force driven influence, but a justice/leadership/example/guiding influence. It also delivers political/economic/monetary leverage that can be traded with other nations. The moment all the sanctions are lifted Iran will be named as the founding nation of both WEO and WDO (both described in the umbrella part of this proposal) and by that take even more the practical economic leadership in the Global East and Global South, supporting Iran's current leading role in ECO (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Non-Aligned Movement). Realizing our proposals will lead to stimulance of more intens regional relations and global relations for Iran.

Methods

As objectives and methods (i.e. tools) not that easy can be separated we've described them in one comprehensive part. Still we think that it's good to underline the methods/tools in summary wise in this paragraph while emphasizing that this summary is far from complete.

The methods/tools used are among others: multiplying foreign capital (in multiple ways, to pay for imported goods, without inflation consequences), internal capital multiplying (assets backed quantitative easing: which will lower inflation severely), enhancing technology (multiple ways), increasing processing/manufacturing (multiple ways), acquiring foreign technologies (multiple ways), merging with science (multiple ways), merging with businesses (multiple ways), stimulating national exports (multiple ways), organizing national fairs in foreign capitals (multiple ways), initiating rail connection schedules with the capitals of EurAsia, etc, etc, etc, etc, etc, etc and last but certainly not least: delivering two very useful international umbrella's for doing this all (WEO and WDO), which are described in the Umbrella description.

Guidelines

Not the Anglo American western view on financial deals, but a non-usury view on the financial the financial system. So no usury and therefore no fixed percentage interest/revenue, but real risk/outcome convergence: both up and down.

Not the Anglo American view on fast fake profits, but a more healthy long term view on real profits. So profits are only real profits if they still are profits after 5 or 10 years (quarterly/yearly profits on an on-going exposure can not be defined as realized profit: as the real value of an exposure is not clear yet).

Not the Anglo American view on business practice, but a other view on business practice. So businesses are should not be driven only in the shareholders interests, but in the interests of all stakeholders involved.

Not the Anglo American wealth absorbing/parasitic/centralizing financial focused capitalism, but a wealth creating production focused wealth creating/distributing capitalism.

Not the Anglo American view on natural resources that loots nations of all their natural resources by foreign companies. Natural resources are a gift of nature to nations and therefore windfall profits on natural resources exploitation should be taxed to benefit all people of a nation.

Not the Anglo American view on central banking (privatizing the money supply to a banking cartel in a monopoly), but the money supply in governmental hands with freedom of currencies to restrict the governments to create more money than needed.

Not the Anglo American view on totally by nothing backed fiat money system (like the US dollar and the UK pound), but an energy/resources/production backed money system (described in our EQE/EBS model).

Not the Anglo American misplaced view on (endless) economic growth (which they need as they have a financial system that implodes if growth become stable wealth: one of the drivers of their imperialism: feeding the financial system its needed growth: if it has to be stolen of other nations). When demographics stabilize economic growth will stabilize some decades later. A fractional reserves based banking system should be out-phased gradually when during this decades to prevent this implosion of the economy.

Not the Anglo American view on the workforce (hate) and the attached (near) slave labor costs: jobs are the core of a stable national economy and should deliver a family supporting income. If there's one influence that ruins the youth of for example the USA, this would be the fact that parents have to maintain 1.5 job per parent to keep the family financial afloat.

Not the Anglo American view on merge between science and industry (where the government pays 95% of the budget and corporations only 5%, but the corporations determines 100% the science policies). Merging science and industry is good: they both have a splendid view: science is good in research/theory, industry in good in research/practice. But corporations should never determine the science, certainly not if they let 95% of the tab for the government. Sponsoring of professors by companies is wrong: it corrupts science. Sponsoring of students by companies is right: it builds science. The master part of studies should be paid for by companies (giving the state more return on their science/education budgets): a student should find a sponsor for the master degree. It will bring the science of universities into companies and the science of companies into universities: building both science realms. Professors should be state paid and objective reach out for higher science without corporate ties.

Not the Anglo American view on their US/UK corporations driven exploration of natural resources (that resources rich nations just wants as client/vassal state of their empire and

never reach equality towards them), leaving the most part of value adding in their hands (preventing those nations to develop to equal peers), leaving them in the center of global trade (preventing the development of peers), destroying governmental structures (to replace them with their puppets that will allow them to loot as much as they want). Governments should stimulate the resources exploration industry innovation/management within their own borders: building knowledge and companies makes a nation less depending on the powers with an imperial/looting/bribing/corrupting mindset. But most of all: governments should have 50% of the sales prices of all energy/mining resources for funding their budgets. Governments should fight internally corruption within the energy/mining realm. Governments should stimulate (partial) processing of energy/mining resources. Export of raw materials delivers the least value added. Export of (partial) processed raw materials builds manufacturing industries besides the exploration and attached logistic sector. Examples are natural gas into fertilizer, iron ore into iron nuggets, desert energy or geo thermal energy into PV solar panels, etc, etc. It will make nations less vulnerable and more resistant in both economic processes as global markets.

Not the Anglo American only urban focused economic development (totally neglecting the development potential of rural regions). When economic development is only focused on the cities it creates by urbanization a people flow towards the cities, creating very poor areas around the cities with not that much perspectives and destroying the contacts between generations with a corner stone of society. A focus on rural development too will deliver economic progress in these areas too and delivers also a more diversified/stable economic growth.

Not the Anglo American corporatism based model of government: in the UK and USA not justice is leading, but the interest of big capital (those who own the money supply: the banks).

Not the Anglo American view on privatization of infrastructure and core governmental functions like even branches of the justice system etc. They should never be privatized as that only leads to privatizing profits and socializing costs.

Not the Anglo American imperial view on international cooperation (aiming in making other nations to vassals or clients states of their empire), but true cooperation by mutual interest focused win/win deals.

Not the Anglo American view on global imperial government under their commend, but independent states that choose their own bilateral/multilateral relations. The roots of their imperialism are in the financial system they operate (that needs continuous growth, otherwise it will collapse).

Not the Anglo American view on government (laissez faire). The state should not be the entrepreneur (that should be the market), but certainly should be a good regulator (with modesty, not favoring any personal/business relations, self regulation of sectors is ordering huge problems), the visionary leadership and the overall (not favoring any personal/business relations) facilitator.

Not the Anglo American view on patents in general and global patents specific. Global patents are jailing instead of supporting global and national innovation. Patents are the next huge non-military but by trade agreements forced ion the world phase of imperialism: delivering the masters of the Global West a taxation model on he whole global economy. All the trade agreements currently are setting in place by them delivers corporations seizure possibilities of any global cargo flow with reversed burden of proof for those they attack. This system of blackmailing by legal systems in global ports/hubs has only one purpose: making sure that anybody will pay the 'patent rights' i.e. 'taxes' they unilateral impose just to ensure the product flow. Any product flow that hasn't paid the 'taxes' to them will be disrupted by seizures. Patents are the next/final phase of imperialism. The 'free' trade agreements are the way they are roll-out on the global economy. Even nations that will not participate in those 'free' trade agreements will be harmed by the patent blackmail of those agreements: their product flows will pass transfer points where that corporations of the Global West favoring

legislation will be in place. Patents are the way they will steal any global corporate profit of any competitor. Patents will be the way they will repress any independent industrial production (and even more worse: any independent agricultural production: corporations like Monsanto are the main drivers /pushers behind those 'free' trade agreements talks. Embracing at large the concept of Open Technology is the way to defend a nation's exports to this parasitic patent driven elite of the Global West. A similar system already protects open source software. Open Technology and the way to promote and protect it nationally and internationally is to wide a subject to go deep into in this few lines. But the concept of Open Technology delivers all companies (totally nondiscriminatory) the use of new inventions free of charge. The inventors often still make a lot of money in consultancy fees towards the companies who implement their technologies.

Not the Anglo American (mainly Adam Smith's theories driven) global free trade doctrine. Adam Smith's theories where more on the Wealth of the Empire than on the Wealth of Nations. Free trade between developed nations and developing nations is like giving the same school graduation examines to all pupils of a school, regarding their grade/age. Free trade is not about free trade, free trade is about benefiting those with a head start over those that are starting. Trade agreements are ideal tools to speed up the economic development of developing nations: by making tailor suited mutual interests driven win/win specific deals with various nations it will deliver them both the needed exports as the needed imports. Free trade agreements is about the winner takes it all: it has no justice, nor mutual interests: it's just about favoring the head start nations by delivering even more head start. Friedrich List who is listed in the economic textbooks as the inventor of the free trade zones was much more critical than described in the Anglo American realm textbooks. List said that free trade only could occur between equal partners. Free trade between unequal partners would result in destroying the development opportunities of the weaker partners. History is written by the rulers. See the Wikipedia entry on Friedrich List to see that his views were different than reported these days. List was very much influenced by founding father of the USA Alexander Hamilton (which was very much influenced by Adam Smith's work when he wrote his 'Report on Manufactures'. Hamilton defeated the imperialism of the UK by colonial liberation by cutting of the imperial driven colonial tax flow to the UK by declaring independence of the UK empire. Hamilton saw after the UK ruined the trade of the young and free nation of the USA everywhere it could do it, that own production (i.e. economic independence) was the soil on which political independence could be build on. That without economic independence, the concept of political independence would stay just a phrase and never would become a reality. Hamilton urged businesses to do/start manufacturing too, promoted instant and severe innovation (without the jail of the former colonial patent legislation) and protected the 'infant' own production against the foreign colonial manufacturing supply. On Hamilton his political work (federalism) there are mixed feelings, on Hamilton his economic work (stimulating the rise of domestic manufacturing) there are only positive feelings: he certainly was a stimulating factor in the industrial rise of the USA (which would overgrow the industrial output of the UK very fast). As transport over land was much more expensive than transport over sea in those days (which favored the sales of manufacturing goods out of colonial power centers due logistics) and the railroads where emerging Friedrich List build further on the models of Hamilton and integrated domestic logistics by promoting the development of a national and continental railroads. Friedrich List his theories where the foundation of the rise of Germany in no longer than 25 years to the same levels of manufacturing production as the UK at the end of the 19th century. The theories of Friedrich List where also used to build the Russian and Japanese economy in short time to modern global industrial nations around the turn of the 19th and 20th century. Hamilton de-colonialized the theories of Adam Smith. List enhanced the theories of Hamilton on many fronts (and certainly on infrastructure) in creating his 'national innovation system'.

Not the Anglo American 'divide and conquer' model that for colonial reasons always favors the second biggest power in nation to undermine the first biggest power in nation and to get nations go under in internal fights between the number one and number two in power/volume. Good economies have stable governments. Stable governments are not the dictatorial 50% plus 1 systems but governments that honors both majorities and minorities. Minorities are often important economic drivers (as they want to outperform their small volume. Minorities never should rule majorities, nor should majorities crush minorities: stability delivers economic

fruits and is build by peaceful cooperation between all ethnic groups in a nation. Imperial powers always will try to destroy the stability in nations that they have on their wish list for looting practices.

Not the Anglo American anti-average-human elite driven malthusian view on the world where there's not enough for everybody to live a descent life (and it's most dark element: that therefore some people should 'disappear' of the face of the earth). Malthusianism is very close to the dark and racist theories of eugenics: mostly very causausian dominated very much all other people denying the right to live theories. Maltusianism was very big in the 50ties, 60ties and 70ties. Very extreme too. Read the Holdren/Erhlich publications of the 70ties: they are darker than dark, both in perspectives as in morals: it reads very much like the thinkers behind the Third Reich i.e. a manual for the Fourth Reich (Holdren is today Obama's science czar: so much for the perspectives/direction of science in the USA: multhusians are not that inventive and found of innovation: each solution delivers new problems is the often used headline of both Holdren and Erhlich). Malthusians structural don't see (are deliberated blind for) the impact of the engineers (who has pushed the malthusian borders far far away). Malthusians like the dark views they cherish. Many of us spend 35 years of their life under the shadow of the Limit on Growth report of the Club of Rome: working hard on alternatives/change. But we all now know by practice that the hard core malthusians are not right: real life is quiet different than the theoretical life somewhere behind an office desk. We need to be sustainable, but there's no reason at all to picture ecohorror that's focused on Mainstreet, while Wallstreet is quietly robbing the world and make it as unsustainable as they could. Malthusianism has many faces, old ones (warning of grain shortages) and new ones (science distorting by political agendas). Actual facets of the already 2 centuries old Malthusianism are PeakOil and GlobalWarming. PeakOil (oil is not fossil, but geological, therefore the fossil origin as foundation of PeakOil is just not right). Global Warming (climate on earth is determined by the journey of the earth in the solar system and by the journey of the solar system in the milky way and by the journey of the milky way in the universe). The core of both theories is that any human activity is bad and ruins the planet and therefore just being alive is a sin against the world: squeezing the joy out of everything and dark clouding the future perspective/emotions of mainly the young generation.

Not the Anglo American bubbles driven, non-stable, non-sustainable vision on economic systems. Which therefore always i.e by design is in need of imperialism to cope with all these negative facets. Respect for justice, nature, resources, health, stability etc is not the core of Adam Smith's visions. He presumed that all these externalities facets will come out right if everybody peruse their own interests. Nothing is more besides the truth than that. A solid justice system protects the small ones against the powers of the big ones, protects the environment of pollution (by granting those who suffers from pollution good access to the legal system). Sustainable is somewhat pro-environment, somewhat pro- nature, but also somewhat in favor of healthy budgets. Sustainability is about making a world our children and there children can live a good and healthy life in.

Not the Anglo American not competition but monopolies favoring economic model. Big players never like competition and as they often are very close to the US/UK governments they always try to eliminate innovative competition. Mostly they do that by increasing the regulatory load in combination with internal control/auditing. This loads so much overhead on small competitors that they can never do them any serious voluminous harm, while they have not any extra work due to it. Monopolies are bad, very bad. In performance and in innovation. Monopolies are not fair at their core of existence. Not fair markets are not free markets, but market jungles where big crushes small by design. Fair markets needs not only voluntary morals, but also market rulers. Market rulers that want to replace the markets by some fake central (and some groups favoring) authority. But market rulers that prevent that monopolies seize the markets and will make them as inefficient as they want them to be. Like the father of the modern cartels (and big figure in the imperial looting realm J.D. Rockefeller once said: 'competition is a sin'. Global powers never want competition, as competition forces them to perform and they like the power to bill much more than action to perform. Big and power and innovation are mostly not that compatible facets. Innovation and fair competition is difficult for the big ones that are used to power. Much of the free trade bla bla bla is just bla bla and has more to do with eliminating

free trade than with stimulation of free trade. It's a shame for the Global West that the leading corporations determine the policies of their State Departments and Departments of Defense and not justice and the interests of the people.

Not the Anglo American on global food monopoly focused GMO (food seed/dna) conglomerates. These conglomerates under the disguise of GMO are just aiming for global patents (and therefore control with attached patent driven/funded 'taxes' to fund this control) on all foods. If there's on development that threatens the autarky of nations that will be the GMO forces of the Global West. Beside the patent and global food system control facet there is also a lot more on the GMO development: from health (non testing, but using the global population as 'quinea pigs'), till nature (making aggressive self propagating genetic pollution that could destroy the ecosystem) and economics (no real economic value adding in higher yields or lower costs, only value adding for the shareholders of Monsanto etc at the cost of us all). GMO is besides usury at the core of the future economic imperialism of the Global West. Instead of fighting nature with technology, technology should be focused on using nature. Huge yield and cost advantages could be realized by new science fields of bio-symbiosis (using one minor crop to improve another major crop), biophysics (using physics in agriculture) and crop diversity (using much more crop types that we use today). Biodiversity, farmers incomes, food production, etc all be gain of it. It also decentralize the value adding: giving the rural areas the income increasing the need to develop more easier/faster. Copying the Global West is not a per definition the right thing. Not of their financial system and not of their agricultural system: both are focused on value adding concentration in the interest of their elites instead of value adding distribution in the interest of mankind.

Not the Anglo American view on bonuses, bribes and kickbacks. In an environment where a lot of big deals will be made this danger is always around the corner. Bonuses can simply to avoided by policies of the management. Bribes also. Kickbacks are more difficult to recognize and prevent (as they are actions provided by third parties). Employees that bribes or that use business deals that benefits themselves or third parties at the cost of others (of which kickbacks or small/big favors are the most frequent practices) will be fired immediately. Without these rules and sanctions on breaking these rules each deal will be more expensive at the cost of the public for the benefits of a few ones.

Not the Anglo American model of pseudo independent external auditing company auditing (as less as possible and by friendly third parties) with the attached habit of cooking the books, that lead to bankruptcy of huge companies while having recent audited and declared very financial healthy. Auditing should be done in a mix of internal and complete independent external (not paid by the to audit entity) and by regular and irregular (taking by surprise, so that cooking the books gets much more difficult). Auditing in the Global West is totally bankrupt. Both moral and in practice.

Not the Karl Marx socialistic view on large state owned enterprises, but as many as possible private ownership of general (not infrastructure) businesses. Governments should be govern/regulate. Businesses should produce/perform. Mixing them delivers less of everything (both regulation/auditing/enforcing as production).

Not the Karl Marx socialistic view on wealth distribution, but the capitalistic view on wealth creation. Not the crony capitalism that favors narrowly only some people/groups with excess government relations, but social capitalism that widely empowers all people by jobs and/of businesses at their convenience. If there's one thing a nation with young demographics needs that will be jobs. Jobs, jobs and jobs. Jobs delivers households besides income to live also self-respect, pride and independence. Jobs delivers domestic peace/stability. Nations with a young demographics without significant job growth will face turbulence more than good is for them. Creating jobs for everybody and certainly for those who start their families is once of the most important objectives of any government.

Not the Karl Marx socialistic view on job creation by state created non market jobs, but private market created market jobs. This as economies should be driven by people through businesses, not by the government.

All those 'not the' approaches could be understood as negative/reactionary, but they certainly aren't: That what made someone/somewhat big is also what that destroying someone/somewhat. This also applies to the Global West. After it was cut of it's imperial feeds after WW II, the Global West cherished a few decades of own production based wealth growth till the 70ties, that was destroyed when financial capitalism start to conquer production capitalism in the Global West in the 80ties, which has totally taken over the Global West in the 90ties and loaded the Global West with debt since than till current near drowning levels and stopped her economic growth and widened its inequality severely.

Therefore much of the arrogance of the Global West is totally misplaced. Certainly from the debt burdened narrative/perspective: The main characteristic of the Global West no longer production, it is debt. Debt to those private parties/cartels in charge of issuing the money.

Therefore it's time for the Global East and Global South to cherish their heritage/values. The core of the Global West internal wealth concentration development and the imperial behavior of the Global West towards the Global East and Global South is the same: the usury based money system of the Global West.

Cherishing owns heritage and positive outcomes should also lead to actively defend these heritage/values by making them stronger and more productive. Otherwise the financial reboot that the Global West is steering at will be a global financial system reboot where those in charge of the financial system of the Global West will try to seize control of the global money system by a reboot under their management for their interests.

The Global West is already seized by its financial elite: installing an usury based financial system by some elites has its price. The Global East and Global South can escape from this seizure: by choosing or holding on to an usury free money system. Otherwise they will become the peasants of those global financial elite too. More user driven local banks like the model of Friedrich Wilhelm Raiffeisen will free the financial system also of the global financial elite. Raiffeisen was a man living exact in the same time frame as Karl Marx, but where Karl Marx was just describing things and igniting conflicts, Raiffeisen was changing things and preventing conflicts: chasing the usury out of the rural areas and made the industrial revolution possible by creating a food surplus in the rural areas that could be sold to the urban areas.

Production based capitalism and production focused money systems prevents the rise of a) parasitic financial capitalism that destroys production, b) oligarchy based crony capitalism (merger between state and corporations at the cost of the state) that concentrated instead of spreads wealth, c) wealth by taxes distributing socialism that represses production. Within the Middle East there are certainly several very interesting economic analyses that are full in line with the heritage of the Middle East.

Iran really can make a difference. For the own people, for the region, for the world.

Control

The stakes for Iran are high. Both in results as in investments. Therefore Iran should have control on the processes done for Iran. As it can't be a state operation (that the seizures due the sanctions will eliminate i.e. make impossible the described process of getting rid of the sanctions. This chicken/egg situation is just what the proposed plan will avoid by delivering a workaround that undermines the propaganda of the Global West.

As the realization process due its wideness and external interactions will be very dynamic it's important for Iran to install a live control/auditing/compliance type of environment/setting. Choosing for the real time control is a must. The only other option is a less dynamic setting which will not deliver the achieved targets (as it will have 10% of the speed in delivering effects).

Real time control is a system that delivers live control/auditing/compliance will give maximal security both financial as moral wise. The Iranian real time control/compliance team will be located in an office in Tehran and it's liaison officer will have direct access to all the board minutes, plus to all digital reporting of in the internal control/auditing/compliance unit. The main task of this compliance team is a) ensuring moral practice and b) protecting Iranian interests.

The control/compliance team could is needed report directly to the SNSC. To the outside world this will not be hidden, but played openly, with the explanation that any nation that joins the World Energy Organization can do the same regarding to the activities realized in their nation and regarding the protection of their own brought-in equity. Regarding Iranian issues the Iranian control/compliance team could have a veto right. Also will bith WEO and WDO hire as much specialists from Iran as possible.

The concept of the World Energy Organization as umbrella delivers the space to do anything needed out in the open, with not any operational risk of seizure nor sanctions.

Breaking the sanctions is not about breaking the rules of the sanctions (which guaranteed will lead to sanctions related trouble), but about a) undermining the sanctions (which will lead to collapse of the sanctions), b) using a proxy that totally is not vulnerable to the sanctions (avoiding the texts of the sanctions by smartness) and c) operating in the nations of global superpowers (read: China and Russia) that will not accept seizure of or damage to any of the businesses that operate voluminous within their national borders.

Realization

Making a realization plan for the very complex and dynamic multi-facet objectives is almost not possible, as any change in one facet influence all other facets too: any realization plan will end in a total chaos of uncountable 'if/than' variables.

Therefore the only thing that could be said on any realization plan is that the actual paths that delivered the objectives will be total different than the one in mind at the start. The more complex/comprehensive things are, the more they just can't be planned in detail. This is something Administrations don't like very much, but telling otherwise would be besides the truth/reality.

Therefore knowing the destination (the described objectives), knowing the operation tools (the described methods) and knowing the environment (the described guidelines) is much more important than having some artificial/fake security delivering step-by-step plan that will be worthless by it's first confrontation with reality.

Knowing the destination (the described objectives) and knowing the environment (the described guidelines) will deliver the objectives within the realm of the guidelines.

Administrations (very much understandable) will not feel comfortable by such a dynamic/mesh model. Administrations therefore should focus on easy to realize live-control while happening i.e. during realization than in not realistic pre-control of tens of thousands 'what/if/than' options.

A 'full live-control while in execution model' is therefore the way for both Administrations involved. It's also the way the management is build. Pre-control of everything is getting far less easy to realize i.e. impossible to do. Therefore the objectives, the methods and the guidelines should be the three central realization dogmas and not some fancy but fake realization plan.

Administrations involved could deliver a live-control body that evaluates any internal choice and outside response while happening. But assessing/influencing current decisions is only half of control, the other half is accessing/researching/auditing/controlling the practice and financials of ongoing projects.

So live-control of all actual decisions and live-auditing of all operations is a better compliance/management/auditing model than any approval of any future projection model (aka: a realization plan) that will be changed almost weekly due the dynamic environment it has to be realized in.

Is there an overall big lines realization plan? Yes, but is describes the basic facets (objectives/methods/guidelines), with no desire at all to assess all possible configurations. Is there a very detailed realization plan? No, the actions are so interconnected, intraconnected and outerconnected that making a detailed realization plan would be a proof of not understanding the complexity ourselves. This is why we invited controllers realtime/live into the boardroom.

Cooperation

We'll take care of realizing everything in Iran's interests. We're very strong on achieving the described objectives, as we see Iran as the pivot of resistance towards anti-imperialism. If Iran can play itself totally free of the global imperial powers, Iran will inspire the whole Global East and Global South to do the same. The objectives of Iran are our objectives.

Iran takes care of supplying equity in the multi ways described. Iran gets live-auditing and live-control that the equity is multiplied and then used in realizing the described objectives, with the described methods, by the described guidelines, under the described umbrella. We don't ask for trust (only those who can't be trusted ask for 'trust'), we supply full auditing and full control. We supply the described objectives, by the described methods, within the described guidelines, under the described umbrella. We have a 'product' suited to Iran's wide economic interests.

Iran will get its supplied equity back by getting copies of foreign factories delivered as described. The described umbrella (WEO and WDO) makes it possible to do so, even regardless if the general sanctions are still in place. As long these new iranian factories can be characterized as new independent listed companies, the sanctions can be by-passed easily.

The moment all the sanctions are lifted Iran will be named as the founding nation of both WEO and WDO and by that take even more the economic leadership in the Global South, supporting its current leading role in ECO

(http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Economic_Cooperation_Organization) and NAM (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Non-Aligned_Movement).

Umbrella

Building directly/instantly the right umbrella is the key factor for realizing the objectives. The umbrella we will use will be the WEO: the World Energy Organization. It's a new entity that we'll start/initiate. It makes it possible to do anything that we want to do out in the open (as the umbrella story tells that Iran is 'just' the first nation served by WEO). The WEO entity is more than an protective umbrella, it's also an productive umbrella.

The WEO will also be a platform where the Global East and Global West can make peer2peer i.e. bilateral deals on geothermal/desert turbines, geothermal drilling, PV manufacturing, pipelines, powerlines and hydrocarbons. These deals can be done in any currency or barter they want, these deals can be made and operated totally without the Global West. Pushing the Global West out of the center where it has been operating parasitical for centuries. Delivering the world more multipolar based equality/stability.

By the public accepted targets of the WEO no government or geopolitical block (as in: the Global West) can seize or sanction an organization with those objectives. The best protection always is openness and aiming for the good/wide accepted/wanted targets.

Parallel on WEO, also the WDO (World Development Organization) will be started. It will be the vehicle that will take stakes in manufacturers and copy with their assistance their technology to Iran (and later on other nations too of course).

The WDO will become a safe haven for general open technology. Open and therefore patent/license free technologies that could bring the nations of the Global East and Global South easy/fast economic further. Progress without the dominant influence of the Global West. This as the Global West is rapidly developing and putting in place a global patent system to drain any corporate/business profit (and thereby governmental income) out of the Global East and Global West.

To prevent any geopolitical games/influence/problems by the offensive global bullies (i.e. the Global West) the whole organizational structure will be fully compartmented in a non symmetric/hierarchic and totally independent both global and national organizational structures (makes the whole of it totally non-vulnerable for any global geopolitical move by anyone).

The umbrella concept in addition with the national concept delivers total compartimentization with the benefits of the global umbrella that just protects the national interests against geopolitical bullies.

ADDENDA

ADDENDUM 1

'Iran: From Sanctions Into Leadership'

Nutshell Description

Iran: "From Sanctions Into Leadership"

Equity Sources: Shell Debt, or Oil via China, or Paper Gold via China (making foreign held gold liquid), or US Treasuries via China (making them liquid)

Equity Route: from source to SunIndus Iran to SunIndus China (placing the protection of a global super power behind it)

Leverage Factor: \$ 2.33 bn times 75 becomes \$ 76,89 bn minus 2,5% fundingfee for the financials delivers a \$ 75 bn available work fund

Leverage Story: buying share of Chinese solar companies, than ordering a) huge quantities of panels and b) copy factories (result: stock price explosion)

Leverage Outcome: huge profits by this stock price explosion out of the global financial markets that can be used to build/diversify the Iranian economy

Projects Energy Solar PV: buying copies of solar panel factories for Iran (and purchasing some quantities of solar panels in the building time)

Projects Energy Desert Energy/Water/Food: building solar thermal plants in all Iranian deserts nearby cities and/or the national power grid

Projects Energy Outcome: more hydrocarbon to export to the world market, more sweet water products, more food production/export

Projects Industry: repeating the same model (equity, leverage, taking positions in foreign manufacturers, ordering both equipment and factory copies)

Projects Industry Outcome: empowering Iran's industrialization/innovation by the merge of foreign factory copies plus Iranian's university research

Attached Iranian Global PR: the solar and desert cases will change global opinion on Iran instantly: no imperial war propaganda can beat these smart moves: they will be speechless as their current propaganda models will fail instantly

Attached Iranian Global Science: the solar and desert cases will bring the Iranian Universities to global top on both solar PV and desert energy/water/food


Attached Iranian Global Influence: offering copy factories and/or the same model to other nations as diplomatic leverage: leveraging diplomatic efforts huge: becoming the industrial leader of the Global South

Making severe head wind into significant tail wind by smart finance/business engineering.

This 'copying of foreign factories' (in combo with open cooperation with the universities) will boost Iran's industrial production/science as never seen before.

Making Iran not only an example for the Global South, but also puts Iran in an actual energy/industrialization based leadership position in the Global South.

ADDENDUM 2



To make things more concrete I decided to make the information below. It's focused on the energy facet of our proposal. As you know energy is only a facet of our proposal. The model described below can be used for any other economic sector too.

Other facets of our proposal/model are: Increasing industrial output (by copying foreign factories, by merging this foreign production knowledge/practice both Iranian manufacturing knowledge/practice with Iranian University knowledge/practice). Increasing agricultural/hydrocultural output. Increasing rail connections to neighbouring countries by link schedules on existing rail tracks. Also things like developing a medical tourism industry could be done by the same model too.

The main goal is independence/autarky/sovereignty for the Iranian People and the Iranian State: More specific: more jobs, more manufacturing output, more agricultural output, more hydrocultural output (fish and flora), more household income, more business revenues, more state revenues, better air quality, less foreign manufactured goods dependence, more science on universities and more science in businesses.

Breaking the sanctions is important. Therefore we make the energy facet the leading facet as the approach described in our proposals will undermine the global public opinion on the sanctions. But our proposals also work if the sanctions will stay in place. There we have made 4 redundant/separate equity bridges to the realization of our industrial model for an independent/sovereign Iran (as I have described in earlier emails to you).

But this email is about the energy facet. For three reasons: 1) the energy facet is the core excuse for the sanctions, 2) the energy facet will be the way to break the sanctions (if done in the way we designed) and 3) the energy facet is the core of economic empowerment.

Therefore: First: let's outline the current situation and future situation. The future situation is within 10 years, if the plan is implemented it could be done in 5 years (as investments always will be realized earlier than the GDP growth they will deliver).

CAPACITY	NOW 70 GW	FUTURE 700 GW	REMARKS 200GW PV, 200GW Desert Thermal, 200 GW Geo Thermal, 100 GW Hydro/Wind/Gas/Oil/Coal/Nuclear (see the explanation of each of this volume in the text below)
FUEL	CARBONS	FUELLESS	fuelless leaves more carbons left to export to the world market (improves state income for infrastructure, etc), delivering also more energy security too (sun always shines, earth's core always hot)
GENERATION/YEAR	225 TWh	650 TWh	most new investments will only operate daytime OR nighttime (except for geothermal and nuclear that delivers a 24/7 grid base load)
SPILL/YEAR	50 TWh	25 TWh	generation/grid efficiency both can be improved, the result will be 50% spill reduction by a 278% generation level, spills are expensive waste that need to be prevented
CONSERVATION/YEAR	R many TWI	nmany TWh	conservation of energy is the cheapest and most secure energy 'source' available: energy efficiency is a item of national wealth and national security
USAGE/YEAR	175 TWh	400 TWh	more production, more jobs, more pre-export processing of raw materials and more electric urban transport (for better city air quality reasons and for better city health reasons)
USAGES/YEAR/CAPITA	4<2500 KWh	>5000 KWh	more production, more jobs, more pre-export processing of raw materials and more electric urban transport (for better city air quality reasons and for better city health reasons)
EXPORT/YEAR	10 TWh	225 TWh	exporting electrons besides molecules will deliver extra state income, delivering good neighbour relations too, energy exports will be mainly during nighttime (geothermal and hydro driven production)

Please be aware that any fuelless power generation saves internal hydrocarbon consumption and will increase the hydrocarbon export (when the sanctions are lifted). Delivering the Iranian State and the Iranian People more income (and therefore better infrastructures/services/etc).

Please be aware that exporting power/electrons adds a whole new industry sector and state income (harvesting massively other facets of nature than oil/gas, by exploring solar –PV and Thermal- en geothermal). Using the light/heat of sun and the heat of the earth to create every day fuelless energy.

Please be aware that power connections, rail connections, export of industrial production, export of agricultural production, etc will build further the regional actual/practical leadership of Iran in the ECO region aka the Global South: delivering not western imperial focused relations, but mutual interest based good functioning and therefore long term real friendship based bilaterals that deliver win/win to both parties: just as the Holy Books asks us to do. A non-usury and non-looting based economic alternative for the Global South. Cutting of the global imperialists by delivering an alternative.

Please be aware of taking care of Energy Economics: anything that delivers power for lower prices than hydrocarbons is right: anything that delivers power for higher prices than hydrocarbons is not right: in this perspective/narrative: nuclear also has many hidden costs: in my perception nuclear in the western world is (again) about privatizing profits and socializing costs (waste, risks, security measures, decommission, etc, etc): so by all not that much beneficial to the people/businesses/state: but of course this is on the government of a nation to decide independently of anything and can't be enforced by imperial agenda driven third nations: the basic concept in hydro carbon alternatives for power generation should be: cheaper than hydro carbons is the right direction: more expensive than hydrocarbon is a wrong direction: wind energy also lacks stable base load characteristics: making the operation of a stable power grid much more difficult: see the a friend of me co-wrote on this grid power challenges: it can be downloaded on http://www.smartpowergeneration.com/spg/downloads: he is currently is rewriting this book now (independently, so not company sponsored: fully objective/dedicated on power generation / power plants / grid stability engineers). He is a PhD who understands the issue of variable power grid supply/demand in the future very very well. It's all about preventing black-outs, creating redundancy (to make it even yet more complex), avoiding ex-norm power specifications (that damages equipment) and preventing spills. Energy Economics is about opposing any governmental subsidy of any source of energy: oil, gas, renewable and nuclear. Energy that's not economic holds down a nation.

Please be aware of taking care of Energy Diversity: the more diverse the power generation is: the less volatile aka the more stronger/robust an economy is. Energy should empower economies aka a nation: lowering costs, delivering prosperity, creating export. Energy Diversity = Economic Security.

As you know the investments needed for the above described 10 fold energy production capacity realization to facilitate a GDP doubling in 10 years or less is addressed in our overall plan. We use the powers that want to loot Iran against themselves. We use the dominance of the dollar (which is aimed against Iran as it is aimed towards any other nation than the USA and the UK) in the benefit of Iran. A model that must be operated outside Iran for the benefit of Iran. The plan also emphasizes industrial and agricultural production, by less import of products and more import of factories (copies of foreign factories, improved by Iranian universities and businesses). The plan also emphasizes a doubling of the agricultural production (see below for the details) to become a regional/global food supplier.

Here below I will describe the components that we advice to be a part of this comprehensive energy approach/solution:

SOLAR PV

Setting a target for 100 GPW solar PV capacity (delivering 200 TWh as 1 PW PV delivers 2 KWh in Iran) equals (due the solar exposure in Iran) setting up 200 GW capcity. This means 4 solar panels of average 333 PW (now 250 PW, but they get more PW capacity each quarter) per capita (to make it more PR like: we could better say per inhabitant: this small PR change will deliver so much internal and external goodwill). That's 75 million times 4 is 300 million solar panels. The panels will be on houses, offices, factories, farms: anywhere. Not in the desert as PV is best in delivering power where it is used (saves the DC to HVAC power grid conversion waste). PV is a on-building i.e. building skin energy harvester. PV also delivers shadow to parts of the building (making less air conditioning/cooling needed) in the hotter regions. The CAPEX per PW is \$ 0.25, as each 1 PW delivers 2 KWh the CAPEX per KWh is \$ 0.125, with a write-off period of 12.5 years (half of the 25 years period the manufacturers says a solar panel will be functional), the price per KWh is \$ 0.01. The longer than 12.5 years operational time pays the OPEX. As solar panels are passive technology (no moving parts) the 'only' destructing force towards them is the violent UV radiation of the sun. The mounting kits are made of aluminium and therefore have no OPEX. The inverter (turns solar panel DC power into 220-240 AC grid power) will have some OPEX (which is paid out of free the production after 12.5 years). In theory the solar panels will after 12.5 years delivering power for \$ 0.01 per KWh, another 12.5 years power for just the OPEX of their whole life cycle. And as said earlier: solar energy needs no fuel and solar panels have no moving parts, so the panels and their mounting kits have no OPEX, the only OPEX is related to the inverter. 100 GW solar PV by a CAPEX of \$ 0.25 per PW delivers an investment of \$ 25 billion, as in Iran 1 PW solar PV delivers 2 KWh/year, therefore this 100 GPW equals due the solar exposure of solar panels in Iran not a 100 GW, but a 200 GW capacity, which will deliver 200 TWh/year. Quite an investment. Not possible is the first thing that crosses the mind. But it is possible. Not by import of solar panels, mounting kits and inverters, but by building an own PV industry (in close cooperation with the physics departments of the Iranian Universities), making Iran a global supplier of solar PV technology towards the Global South. It can be initiated/financed by the external equity and production model we designed. Which keeps foreign investments in Iran in the own hands and on own conditions: just the benefits, not the burdens. Which delivers GDP growth and attached state revenues. Any Iranian company will be allowed to use the PV production technology (with direct technological research/experience access) in return of 10% of the production for state use. The state uses them for governmental buildings and rural development projects. The way to fund this huge \$ 25 billion PV investment is described below (it will not effect state budgets, nor will it done by external dictated terms: the two main demands on any good funding plan for huge investments).

DESERT THERMAL

Desert Thermal is about using the heat of the sun in hot areas with no economic use right now (i.e. deserts). The sunlight is concentrated 50 times by mirrors to heat water, that gets it's final heat push by a secondary system with very salt solution of far more than 100 degrees Celsius towards 100 degrees Celsius (i.e. cooking/vaporizing temperature i.e. boiling point) till it vaporizes and that steam drives turbines that makes power. No chemical fluids should be used for the secondary heating: just very salt water: as than there's no risk of chemical contamination of the water/stream. When the input is seawater Desert Thermal energy don't uses sweet water, but on the contrary: it makes sweet water in large volumes. So the result of Desert Thermal is both power and water: the two main commodities any nation needs a lot. When the deserts are not located near the sea, a pipeline should be constructed to deliver the sea water into the desert location. Pipelines always should be redundant (more than one) to protect a nation against terror or foreign powers caused destruction that leads without redundancy to functional problems at the end of the pipe. The pipelines should be monitored and protected. The water intake locations (also redundant) should be monitored and protected too. The pipe pump infra should be dynamic (deliver just the sea water needed just in time). On the Desert Thermal location the enormous energy absorption of water will be used to prevent damage caused by night frost. Desert Thermal plants could deliver both power and water to near by cities or industrial areas. The waste heat of Desert Thermal also could be used to harvest thick oil (to make it liquid), something that now is done by burning oil/gas (by the way: this could be done energy efficient by the use of microwaves too). Desert Thermal absorbs a lot of heat from the desert location it's build in. Making the desert more modest. As there's water available (both sea water and sweet water) and heat of the sun and waste heat at night: there will be plenty of food production methods to explore around the Desert Power locations. Fish (salt water, sweet water), water plants (salt water, sweet water) to feed the fish or other life stock, vegetables, meat (by the Savory Institute method that gradual changes deserts into grasslands (see http://on.ted.com/Savory for a lecture of Allan Savory on TED on his model of planned grazing): a model that could deliver all global meat demand out of the desert: a huge export opportunity for Iran. I could ask Allan to deliver some lectures on Iranian Universities. Also sea/duck weed could be grown: water weeds are gaining enormous in the global non Monsanto (US Food imperialism) based human food chain and life stock feed (duck weed delivers 7 times more protein than soy per acre). The most beautiful facet of Desert Thermal is that all project components could be made local. This is where the German driven Desertec model goes wrong: it places the needs of the German Industry central and not those of the nations with deserts that wants to explore Desert Power. Mirrors could be make in factories near the deserts (importing them from Germany is madness) out of desert sand. Tubes and pipelines too. Aluminium (expensive but light weight for shipping from Germany to the nations with deserts) could be replaced with concrete (make mainly out of desert sand). There are some heavy over designed Desertec variants: models that works perfect in lab situations, but doesn't work that good in the harsh environment of heat/cold and much of sand dust. Low tech (which has some lower efficiency) has a severe lower CAPEX and OPEX and is therefore much more economic (i.e. delivers a far lower KWh price and that's the main specification). The high tech models are also mainly not focused on water desalination as secondary goal too (using chemicals as heat transporter). Technological overdesigning is a temptation any engineer is vulnerable too. Soil space is not the issue in the desert, why design as if soil space is expensive in the desert. Desert Power could become a huge facet/driver on Iranian Universities and also a huge export factor for Iran (as power/energy, as embedded energy in processed raw materials and/or manufacturing goods, as embedded energy/water in agricultural/hydrocultural products). Desert Power delivers only daytime (12 hours per day) power, the GW calculation is based on that maximum. We don't believe in over designed technology that 'stores' energy: Iran has it hydro water/power dams and can 'store' energy by using access energy in day time to pump water upwards in to the dam reservoir to release it during night time; no expensive extra overdesigned technology is needed. Installation of GW capacity should be double of a 24/7 working model, but as it only can be used 12 hours a day why put this into the figures: it's an 'empty' doubling of the GW figure. So Geo Thermal needs double turbine capacities get the same results as Geo Thermal (as Geo Thermal not depends on sunlight and is heated by the earth's core 24 hours a day). Why Desert Power if Geo Thermal needs less space (not important) and delivers double output (very important) while

also has the same water specs? Because energy diversity is important. Because it focus the nation on desert transformation. Because Geo Thermal should be done wisely (without risk: risk assessments should be done very extensively). Because not all nations have hotspots for Geo Thermal as Iran does and therefore Desert Power / Desert Water plants will be a huge potential export success for Iran. Desert Power (with it's attached Desert Water facilities) could deliver economy/jobs, state income from exports/taxes, a huge increase in food production, etc. Iran should aim for 200 GW real capacity of Desert Power (is 400 GW turbine capacity, delivering due to only 12 hours operation a day by a 80% efficiency 80% of 250 TWh = 200 THh/year). The CAPEX of 200 GW real capacity of Desert Power (i.e. 400 GW turbine capacity) is \$ 0.125 per KWh, based on a short write down time of 12.5 years, the costprice per KWh is \$ 0.01. Every year these plants are operational after this 12.5 year it will deliver free energy. The investment is 200 GW (or to make it easy: in TWh equivalent as described/calculated some lines above) times \$ 0,125 per KWh capacity is \$ 25 billion. As we will take stakes in solar panel manufacturers and will copy their technology to Iran this \$ 25 billion is only partial a finance demand in other currencies. The way to fund this huge \$ 25 billion Desert Power / Desert Water investment is described below (it will not effect state budgets, nor will it done by external dictated terms: the two main demands on any good funding plan for huge investments). The (fuelless) OPEX will be paid by the sweet water and waste heat revenues. The waste heat also could be used by the oil industry (to make thick oil more liquid) as well that the seawater pipe also could be used to increase pressure on the depleted oil/gas fields (something that by the way is not recommended by the geological oil origin theorists). Achieve both sweet water and sea water based hydroculture in the desert also delivers a lot of evaporation in the desert (reducing it harsh conditions somewhat). Excess salt could be exported by rail, or stored local (not that wise) or piped (by using the redundant water pipe line system) back into the sea or by train (as salt rock). Sea salt will become a kind of global demand commodity as salt water hydroculture fish/seaweed combo farms will go inland.

GEO THERMAL

Geo Thermal is about using the heat of the earth's core on the hotspots (locations where this heat comes close to the earth's surface). Iran has due its geology a lot of hotspots and therefore a huge geothermal potential that could be harvested. Geothermal is an endless energy source as the hotspots are heated endless by the earth's core. Geothermal can become a very good base load power grid supplier: working 24/7 (day and night): there are some issues to address, but Iran could become the geothermal leader of the world: the geology of Iran is perfect for geothermal: it could be build 100% national The general model we propose is also the model we want to apply on geothermal: take a stake by proxy in foreign manufacturers and copy their technologies to both Iranian companies and Iranian universities: also regarding to geothermal it's a fast way to graft very quick technologic improvement from all around the world into the Iranian economy. For the enormous geothermal potential of Iran see https://www.google.com/search?q=geothermal+iran+map. Geo Thermal is about drilling several sea low temp water injection pipes and several high temp water harvesting pipes and placing turbines and water treatment between those two. Always redundant (so that a problem with one pipe is nothing more than a problem with one pipe). Geo Thermal don't require much soil space: it's almost invisual (with exception of some water vapour clouds when the air is cool at night). Almost all is the same as by Desert Thermal (only the tubes and mirrors of Desert Thermal as in Geo Thermal replaced by drilled pipes). So the sweet water advantage is also available. The water feeds are separated too (as geo thermal water could have unwanted minerals in it): the geothermal boiled water is injected again (after use of all its heat by for example fish farms etc). All the advantages of Desert Thermal are also available in Geo Thermal. Three differences: Desert Thermal is completely without any risks, Desert Thermal is only productive at daytime (Geo Thermal is productive at day and night time: so it can deliver a nice base load to the power grid similar to nuclear), Desert Thermal needs due to daytime only operation double turbines capacities to get the same GW capacity as Geo Thermal. Geo Thermal excess energy could be used to pump water upwards in the hydro dam reservoirs (to 'store' energy). The CAPEX (investment) for 200 GW (delivering theoretical 250 THh, but by a 80% efficiency: 200 THh: therefore let's use the TWh equivalent in the calculation) is 200 TWh times \$ 0.0125 = \$ 25 billion, the write down period is 12.5 years too. Every year these plants are operational after this 12.5 year it will deliver free energy. Geo Thermal delivers power at \$ 0.01 cent as sweet water and heat waste revenues will pay for the (fuelless) OPEX costs. The way to fund this huge \$ 25 billion Desert Power / Desert Water investment is described below (it will not effect state budgets, nor will it done by external dictated terms: the two main demands on any good funding plan for huge investments).

HYDRO, WIND, GAS, OIL, COAL AND NUCLEAR

Together those three can be build further towards 100 GW, with a production of 50 TWh (50% efficiency). Why this 1 to 0.50 GW / TWh ratio? It's a mixed bag: nuclear produces 24/7, wind produces very irregular and hydro will produce mainly only when there's power demand than could not be met otherwise, or if there's a water surplus upstream or a water deficit downstream. We see hydro dams more as water suppliers and energy 'battery' (using excess power to pump water upwards) as we see them as energy suppliers: they have in water supply/conservation such a huge USP that dwarfs the energy facet. As we have no solutions for those 6 energy sources, we let them in these emails out of the picture. Only for the turbines our model of taking a stake in foreign manufacturers and copying the technology to Iran could be beneficial. The same could be said on wind/gas/oil/coal technologies if needed.

Regarding Hydro: Iran is very much on the right way on this. We could not add anything to that. Maybe we could buy shares in cement or turbines or dam suppliers in the Global East and/or Global West, to get connection to the most actual foreign knowledge/research/experience.

Iran is doing great in hydro, as you showed us during our meeting. Some remarks regarding hydro could be made:

Regarding the communication or PR of the dams project: I would not mention the electricity production as sole/main objective. Rather I would change that to water as main objective: 'Iran is taking care of it's water demand for the 21st century'. Nobody then will resist this: water is too important for anyone. Changing the 'leit motif' will erase all opposition: always it will picture Iran in the world as a nation that's doing the right thing regarding to sweet water: making it a global leader in sweet water policies. It is more effective not to fight the enemy on their terms, but to fight the enemy on your own nation's term. Not to focus on what the enemy you want to be focused on. Your own focal points are: water = food: clean water = health. No one can be opposed to food and health.

Regarding the main objective: The argument that the main objective of hydro is power is in my opinion weak in terms of publicizing to the world your enormous achievement with building the hydro dams, as the electric power could be generated in different ways too. The fresh water argument is very powerful.

Regarding possible needed village relocation: if villages must be relocated due the rise of the water behind the dams, I would hope the government adopts a policy of being very generous to them. That prevents opposition towards the building of the dams. In many nations like brazil or China the people affected are not treated generously (in the contrary), which results in opposition towards the dams and civil unrest of those people and those who have sympathy with them. Being generous to the affected people doesn't cost that much. Being not generous to those people is a practice of 'penny wise, pound foolish.' In Brazil dams are attracting a lot of resistance: something that can be prevented as described.

Regarding protection: It is wise in this world of wars and sabotage to better build the dams too solid than less solid: not only regarding the water pressure, but also regarding foreign attacks: as you know foreign interests don't care at all about the interest of the people of Iran (they just after it's geological wealth and after pipelines etc to carry that). I am sure your engineers understand but I mention it, that it is important not to build the dams too fast, as by too less cooling of the concrete during building the chemical bonding structure will be not at strongest level. In my view it is also very important to protect the dams as much as possible: by design (having free water 'valve' that could lower the water level when needed) and/or having enough turbine volume that could lower the water level behind the dam quickly if needed. Iran might want to think about protecting the dams by effective military installations.

Regarding the target of conservation of 100% of the rainwater: It is not optimal to try to conserve really 100% of the rainwater. It will bring severe salinization-attached issues to the river deltas: ruining both sweet water fishing and agricultural output in those regions. It is

important in dam building to always keep the rivers streaming: this will keep the salt water of the sea outside the river delta region.

Regarding to neighboring nations: It is important not to build dams in rivers that flow into neighbouring nations. You can see the problems Egypt is making about the declining water volume that enters their nations by the river the Nile or the problems Iraq faces due to the dams in Turkey. Preventing tensions is the best thing to do. Solving tensions always cost much more: diplomacy could deliver a mutual interest based non-conflict solution regarding the rivers that flow towards other nations. Having an angry neighbour is not in the interest of Iran: it also will undermine any Iranian leading role in for example ECO and the Shanghai Cooperation Initiative etc.

Regarding Wind: We don't recommend wind energy. For four reasons: 1) the CAPEX economics are not good (too high CAPEX per KWh/year due to the only 25% capacity output), 2) the OPEX economics are not good (wind energy is based on moving parts and moving parts wear and perish), 3) the very irregular GRID feed is a sever technological/operational power grid problem and 4) wind mills ruins landscapes (horizon pollution). Yes, Iran should experiment with wind energy, but in the current setting wind energy has no competitive business case. The only reasons to do wind energy is diversifying energy supply, but if that is needed is the question: the sun will shine at daytime (delivering sun driven PV and Desert Thermal energy), the heat of the earth's core will continue to feed 24/7 the geothermal driven energy turbines. So I'm not that much convinced on the economics of wind energy: the economics are just not right (yet) and the output is too much fluctuating. Economics should be the main objective (even if clean air, de-/multicentral and energy risk diversity and power grid feed autarky are very important issues). Windmills also severely impact beautiful country side landscapes visually. But the main argument is: the economics of wind energy are not right (yet): it cost relative to hydrocarbons too much: decentral PV and desert based thermal (which delivers also water) (which also could deliver heat to make more heavy oils more liquid for the oil industry) and geothermal are options that deliver power at lower cost than hydro carbon prices: this is a huge choke point: what's national saved on hydro carbon volume can be exported to China.

Regarding Gas and Oil: Any gas and/or oil used for power generation could not be exported (now or in the future). Therefore burning gas/oil for power generation is should be done only is needed: Gas in urban areas as power grid load optimizer/balancer. Oil in rural regions with not yet a natural gas infra (something that should be fixed in the near future). Any other use of gas/oil is wasting a expensive export commodity. The demand for gas/oil in the world will be high for a long time: there's no valid energy alternative for transport/mobility (batteries are too small for ex-urban traffic, plus there's no lithium enough in the world to build the needed batteries). We all totally underestimate the energy volume gas/oil delivers us for transport/mobility. So there will be a rising demand for gas/oil in the next decades: just to power transport/mobility. So it's a waste of export earnings to burn them for power generation as power generation can be done without burning oil/gas (that's what this email is about). Gas can be exported geopolitics unaffected i.e. pipeline-less as GTL (Gas To Liquid) a lot in the next decade: all major global cities will make the use of GTL fuel mandatory to improve city air quality and therefore city health (young children suffer a lot due to bad city air qualities). Our model of staking stakes in foreign manufacturers and copying the technology to Iran maybe could be used for gas/oil too.

Regarding Coal: Coal can be a good power plant fuel (if the production price of coal is right and if coal don't have to be imported). Burning coal delivers some air pollution, therefore it should not be done near to cities. CO2 is not a problem (see below why: the whole CO2 story is just an UK driven Malthusian whip on the global population). Sulphur is only a problem in rural areas, in agricultural areas it feeds for example the growth of wheat (a crop that needs sulphur). The problem with coal fuelled power plants is that they are not flexible in operation (long on/off transition time), so they should be burning always to get some efficiency: something for example desert power can deliver during day time and geothermal can deliver day- and night time. So burning coal is something that will be replaced by fuelless competition very easily.

Regarding Nuclear: We can not comment on that, as than we should violate the sanctions. We think any nation should determine their own future (with exception of border crossing pollution: that demands bilateral agreements). The whole nuclear discussion is one of big (in capital characters written) hypocrisy. Some nations are allowed to break the rules, other nations are not allowed to act within the rules. Still we have two remarks on the nuclear issue: 1) We find the current business case of nuclear energy not that good: not one nuclear power plant operational calculation has waste solutions in it, not one nuclear power plant operational calculation has decommission in it, not one nuclear power plant operational calculation has insurance against disasters in it: by those three facets we find the current business case of nuclear energy is very much about privatizing profits and socializing costs. 2) We find the current technological case of nuclear energy not that good: huge operational risks, huge terror attracting vulnerability, no solution for the waste, etc. We think the current status of nuclear energy is beta (in software they would say: version 0.2 with a long road to go to reach version 1.0). 3) The turbulence the nuclear case delivers dwarfs the benefits (if there are long term -!benefits at all, as described in the lines above). The nuclear energy issue has become the whip to beat Iran. Although the sovereign issue is very very important (someone somewhere must draw a line in the sand for the hypocrite global ruling elites that think they are running the world), the impact of the sanction are very very severe (and yes, there's an upside to them too: building own industries that could deliver more jobs than ever for the young demographics of Iran). The point of energy security/diversity we addressed above, by that we 'robbed' nuclear of it's supposed economic need. Maybe stalling the nuclear development program could be an idea. The uranium reserves of Iran will not 'walk away': they will be available any given time. A pause of the foreign pressure could be very beneficial, but that's for the Supreme Leader and the SNSC and the President to decide: but the economic price is getting high due all the imperial and hypocrite sanctions. Maybe the Iranian universities could contribute to getting a right nuclear energy model without the loose ends the current nuclear model has a lot (i.e. maybe they could develop the above described version 1.0: a both in technology and economics right nuclear model that don't have all the lose ends the current nuclear model worldwide has). When driving the car at nigh time and becoming tired, the road signs instead of the road can become too important: than the car gets damaged by getting of the road. The road in the most important facet: building a future for the people of Iran, without the looting of the global elite (which want to loot Iran again as they did before 1951), without usury (which they want to force on the people and State of Iran as they hold the global reserve currency and therefore can tax the world and all people within the usury system by it). My advice is rethink nuclear, make a very good deal on it. Never become a vassal/client state of the global elite. Be proud that Iran resists their hegemony and by that erode it.

I hope you've find the energy facet descriptions above useful.

I hope Iran will become the global example that people's wellbeing is served better by alternatives. Production focus, not financial/usury focused like the global imperialists. Mutual interests based bilaterals instead of their global empire driven by their 1% to loot the rest of the world and bring them in submission to their control.

Our meeting touched a lot of things. Things that maybe need some extra communication/information. I try to address those below:

Processing/embedding of raw materials/energy. Focusing on Processing/embedding of raw materials/energy makes Iran less vulnerable for the geopolitical games of the imperial powers who will do anything to cut Iran out of any deal, both by high seas as by pipe lines.

For example: Iran could become a global leader in GTL (Gas To Liquid) technology: that would make it possible to export natural gas more easily (without the geopolitical consequences of yes/no access to gas pipe lines: Cities all over the world are searching for ways to improve city air quality: mandatory GTL as fuel use for buses/trucks/cars is a very important possibility in this realm. It gives a premium on the price of natural gas (although the GTL converting process takes a lot of energy too).

Embedded natural gas / energy exports are a good alternative for pipeline-based exports (as the imperial powers will do anything to realize that Iran cannot export by pipelines to the West): fertilizer/GTL and metal nuggets instead of metal ores, etc. Regarding the iron nuggets instead of iron ore: the price of iron nuggets is much higher and the transport cost much lower (plus nuggets can be transported in regular sea/rail containers on regular pallets out of any port or rail hub). See for a picture of iron nuggets

http://steelguru.com/uploads/reports/KobeSteel1-24-01-2010.jpg. The technology is called ITmk3 (Iron Technology mark 3) and developed by Kobe Steel and uses no cooking coal (all other iron mills processes needs) but regular cheap everywhere available coal plus natural gas (something that Iran has in abundance). ITmk3 is a very good example of national value adding on raw materials. Iron nuggets combines embedded energy with also value adding on other raw materials (in this case: the processing van iron ore to iron nuggets). The crystals used to produce solar panels are also a great way to massively export energy embedded (as energy for the crystallization process is the main cost in PV crystal production). Any export of processed raw materials and/or energy is good for Iran: it delivers a) value added that empowers the Iranian economy more than just raw based exports and b) geopolitical risk reduction (less depending on the high seas, more depending on rail schedules | less depending on pipelines, more depending on rail schedules). The CEO of the licence holding company for parts of the Middle East (a man from Syria) is relation of me. He is willing to negotiate with Kobe Steel about a licence for Iran by his direct access to the Kobe Steel board.

If you like I could give you a copy of my strategic memos on processed/embedded GTL and fertilizer, and use of metal nuggets instead of metal ores, that I provided to Arkady Dvorkovich (https://www.google.com/search?q=Arkady+Dvorkovich), the Russian Deputy Prime Minister to Medvedev (who has unfortunately turned more an Adam Smith implementer -Wealth of Nations could be renamed into Wealth of the Empire-, than a Friedrich List implementer -Building Real/Independent/Strong Own National Economies-).

Russia is, like Iran, a target of the imperial powers that want to loot Russia (just as they like/try to do with Iran). Any processed/embedded export widens the world market demand and limits the political repression. Facing both North and East is certainly a geographical advantage of Iran: the Global West is in decline and still imperial (full of dirty tricks to maintain their declining global position). Embedding/processing not only makes Iran less vulnerable in many ways, it also delivers more national prosperity/GDP.

Upgrading existing rail schedules: Iran could make a regional leadership by starting regional rail schedules on existing rail tracks: It would open the neighbouring countries for Iranian industrial exports, facilitating active economic relations with the surrounding nations,

exploiting your unique central hub geographical location. Huge benefits connected to this. In my view I would not start with new rail tracks as that takes too long. If you start on existing track significant change could be done within a month. If you like I could deliver a strategic advice I have given to Vladimir Yakunin (https://www.google.nl/search?q=Vladimir+Yakunin), the CEO of Russian Railways. Rail not only delivers income: it also delivers connections and reduces the naval influence of the US/UK her imperial behaviour (as bilateral relations between nations without the imperial powers delivers a decline in their influence/power). Processed ores (aka: metal nuggets) can be transported easily by any normal container / closed / open rail wagon: opening total new (not on shore) markets for Iranian originated (processed) raw materials.

The mix of realization of copies of foreign technological manufacturing factories: The mix of realization of copies of foreign technological manufacturing factories with linking this to the Iranian universities delivers both the factories and the universities huge knowledge opportunities: theoretical knowledge then meets practical experience. The combination of those two is so powerful that it speeds up economic development/growth as never seen before. If Iran then takes furthermore into account our financial leverage in the 4 nations where money creation has gone wild: US/UK/CN/JP, the mix of those 3 delivers solid/fast/instant economic progress. Making Iran a nation that empowers other nations in the Global South and Global East to escape the imperial powers that are mainly focused on looting foreign nations (robbing/repressing prosperity) would deliver huge benefits.

The start of the funding model has 4 ways: Allowing Shell's oil debt to be paid is only one of four ways to start the realization of our proposals: Getting the old debt of Shell towards Iran paid by the plan described is a huge opportunity. But it should not be a bottleneck: there are 3 alternative ways to realized the communicated strategy: B, C and D. B) Oil for China (by proxy of a chinese state owned conglomerate), C) Paper Gold (creating international liquidity based on current gold assets, while still holding the procession of the gold: and as said: who stores the gold: holds the gold: paper gold is just paper: just like US Treasuries are: nothing more than useless paper with an useless -only actual value having- promise) via China and D) Turning passive US or other nations Treasuries holdings of Iran into liquidities (creating international liquidity based on current US Treasuries) via China (why funding the nations that makes life hard for Iran by holding their Treasuries). In our opinion all 4 options should be done simultaneously (as dependence on or delay caused by other nation's decisions is not right). Simultaneously acting in those 4 ways will guarantee quick success and enforce each other.

The final funding model (after the above described ways to start) has 3 main components: 1) EQE/EBS, 2) foreign equity capital on own terms via a proxy and 3) new exports: As I wrote earlier: the funding of the above energy proposal (which is only the energy facet of our proposed model) of \$ 25 bn PV + \$ 25 bn Desert Power/Water + \$ 25 bn Geo Thermal Power/Water together being \$ 75 billion should have no impact on the state budget and should be done on the own terms and by the own ethics of Iran. No foreign dependency as foreign dependency equals unwanted risks and unwanted side effects/demands. We have designed a plan for this funding. A plan that not only could be used for the above describe energy proposal, but also for the processing of raw materials, manufacturing of goods and production of agricultural/hydrocultural produced and processed foods. We have explained why we want to start with the energy facet in the first line of this email (the energy model could undermine the by hostile propaganda feed global public opinion on Iran that is used to 'justify' the sanctions: sanctions on a scale that have been done never before in global history: therefore Russia and China are no supporters of the sanctions: as they know they could be the next victims of this imperial behaviour of the Global West).

EQE/EBS: EQE is Energy Quantitative Easing. EBS is Energy Backed Securities. QE the way done 'traditional' is increasing the money supply without any connection to anything besides that is used to supply the gamblers of usury with money to cover their losses. QE the traditional way is just watering down all financial assets for the benefit of the masters of the global financial casino. QE the traditional way should have a 'trickling down' life path, but this never happens. The usury gamblers use this extra supply of money for carry trade towards

high yield (as in: high risk) financial gambling as they know that they will be bailed out when bets go wrong. QE the way done in way the USA or the UK or in Japan (which is by the way a vassal/client state of the USA) do it is bad. It's about pumping more hot air in a balloon. They kick the can down the road as long as it can be done. Then they (the financial imperial elites of the USA and the UK) will try to 'reboot' the global financial system under their parasitic control again to play the same dirty trick for a several new decades (as they have done since 1944 and 1971). EQE is different. EQE uses EBS. EBS takes care that the money demand is created in MainStreet (by normal hard working people/households/businesses) and it focused on only fuelless energy investments. The financials supplying the money can sell these sharia based energy finance to a national EBS institute that is funded by the Central Bank by EQE (similar as Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae in the USA act for mortgages). But the EQE/EBS combo prevents corruption and system abuse that characterize Freddie Mac and Fannie Mae from bottom till board: house values are subjective, energy prices are objective. Only certain energy investments could be used for this model. The EQE/EBS combo makes currencies stronger on the world stage. As the Global West is playing their state insured global casino capitalism to the max and beyond based on nothing but brutality), the Global South and Global East start to understand the parasitic behaviour in the global monetary world of the global imperial parasitic elite. They start to take other directions. The ban on usury within the Islam is a huge protection against personal/household/corporate/national serfdom. The EQE/EBS combo can be used to finance national produced energy investments (as they need no foreign currencies to get manufactured and installed). It's a 'percolating up' model. The EQE/EBS combo will make the Rial strong again, it will make the Rial a currency that's backed by real energy investments: delivering the Rial an USP against the dollar/pound (which are just hot air balloon currencies). The EQE/EBS combo is even better than gold: gold can be stolen by foreign powers (see the world history), by internal thieves (see the world history) or by gold leasers (see the current status of almost all by third parties stored monetary gold in the world). Large scale decentral of large scale central energy investments can't be stolen in any way. Gold is a dead asset and energy investments produces any day fuelless energy. Last but not least delivers fuelless energy investments more carbon exports and by that more foreign wealth supply towards Iran: as carbons are one of the channels by which wealth streams from one nation to another in this world. The EQE/EBS combo will make Iran a global leader/example in the monetary world instantly.

Foreign equity capital on own terms by/via a proxy: The part of the energy investments that can't produced national must be bought international. Of course the focus should be importing as less as possible (as imports weakens and exports empowers), therefore our model has the 'acquiring a stake in foreign manufacturers and copy their technology towards Iranian universities and businesses' build in. But when imports are needed (or stake in foreign companies have to be realized) there's a capital demand that must be filled in. We have a model to do that. Describing the model in the limited space of this email would not be right. It's about no longer being to accept the terms of foreigners, but create own capital funds based on own terms and own ethics. It's about taking the foreign capital input in own hands. It's done in a sharia compliance way. It will change the rules of the game: no longer the other side can demand anything they want, but from then on off the game is balanced: the suppliers have something we want, we don't need their credit on their terms (i.e. we don't want their looting): we will be able to do business based on real mutual balanced interests.

New exports: New exports are a huge way to fund these investments: as they deliver finance less capital by profit. With our model the energy output, the raw material output, the preprocessed raw material output, the agricultural output, the hydrocultural output and the science/health/services output will increase significant: delivering nation wide jobs and profits that feeds the state too and make capital for further energy and other sector investments. The more Iran exports the more the Rial empowers too (as than the Rial can be exchanged for many wanted import out of Iran).

As said earlier: the above described model could be used for other economic sectors than energy too. As you know we like the economic strategy of Friedrich List (his national system of innovation). The above describe funding model could be used for any other economic sector too: taking stakes in foreign manufacturers and copy their technology to Iran (universities and

corporations/companies).

William and I hope that we together with you by the plan/model we have presented can solve the sanctions and guide/develop Iran to regional leadership in autonomy of the global imperial powers. Let's hope the people of Iran will benefit of it significant, but also the all people of the Global South and Global East too. An usury free economic model that is not drained by financial elites, nor imperial powers. Let's hope and work that this global change will come from Iran. It's all about a) using the system pointed at destroying the autonomy of Iran reversely to empower this autonomy and weaken the grip of those imperial power on Iran b) building Iran's economy in a way that without sanctions never could be done (the Friedrich List economic theory: a perfect model for the ECO nations). I hope we could use the global financial system reversely in the benefit of people to undermine the imperial influences (both in strategy and tactics) and I hope we should act if there were no imperial powers (as only focusing on counterparties is feeding the animal: delivering less room for the own agenda: just what the counterparties are hoping for: something that should be avoided (both in strategy and tactics). Those two mindsets that are not contrary but complementary will deliver huge changes. The sanctions have one huge upside: they force the Iranian economy to diversify away from gas/oil centred model: more economic diversity is very good.

SUMMERY: The sanctions can be broken by smart strategy/tactics that will change the global public opinion on Iran (which in now fully feed by propaganda of the global financial elites, which have only one agenda: turning Iran into a vassel/client state again and loot her natural wealth). Energy investments as described are the key to this change of global opinion: it counter balance i.e. totally undermines the nasty propaganda of the global elite. The funding of these energy investments should be done without any negative influence on the state budget, nor the monetary situation of Iran; contrary it should empower the state budgets and should empower the monetary situation of Iran. The same model as use for the energy investment could be used for any other economic sector: the main target is a strong and independent Iran that delivers a good life to all Iranians (which will stop the brain drain caused by economic headwinds too): delivering the fruits of the well functing high quality education/reseach of/in Iran to the Iranian present and future.

I wish you a blessed last part of the Ramadan period and maybe President Rouhani and his transition team can use some of above in 'the first 100 days of his office' period. We hope also that many nations will follow Iran in applying these models: That they will send their highly talented youth to Iran to study the functioning of an economic system without the evils of parasitic imperialism and parasitic usury: Iran as example of an economic strong nation that resists global imperialism.

'Breaking the General Sanctions (Introduction of Part 2) a Global Financial Strategy for Iran'

As sequel to Part 1 of our proposal (How to reverse the global opinion on Iran and Iranian people and use the solar energy case as tool for that), we deliver you hereby Part 2 of our proposal (How to reverse the financial/capital effects of the global parasitic behaviour of imperialistic nations towards Iran and the Iranian people).

Money creation has gone wild in \$, \pounds and ¥. This hurts the Iranian interest severely. As these nations (USA, UK and Japan) are increasingly acting as a parasite on the global economy by this debt madness driven money creating, other nations must pick up the tab and pay in real work/assets/commodities for their exponential debt growth. Financial engineering has replaced real production and the global economy (aka other nations, aka the global population, aka: the vassals of their empire) must pay for this by a) hard labour and b) supplying real assets in exchange for worthless debt paper. All three these nations forgot long time ago that production is the foundation for gaining international influence. They are therefore in their last days of dominance, though no one can predict how the demise will take place or precisely when. But they certainly will try to reboot the global financial system on their terms to deliver themselves a brand new and even more intensive looting mechanism/period/era. This certainly not wanted outcome (for Iran and also for the rest of the world) could be hindered aka prevented with realizing the plan below. The model below is above fighting financial imperialism.

To understand their empire driven scientific I advise you to read http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Realism_%28international_relations%29 and http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mercantilism. These empire achieving nations see any deal mainly/only as a zero sum game (in other the one could win something, the counter party has to lose something). They are not that good in value creation, therefore they very easily chose for repression and looting of other nations. Production driven capitalism has been driven away by financial driven capitalism (see the work of Michael Hudson who describes the parasitic effects of financial capitalism on the global economy very good) and thereby they've undermined their own morals and their own future perspectives (rotten from within just like the Roman Empire 1600 years ago). Cooperation is not something they can practice, their mindset prevents them to think and act in a mutual interest way.

But as they are still dominant, this foreign financial imperialism hurts the Iranian economy and the Iranian people. It's time to reverse this, to bring this parasitic behaviour to an end, to balance this looting. Not by counter looting, nor by aggression, but by smartly using the stick that wants to hurt/loot/parasite in a reverse way. The theory behind this mechanism comes from the great Chinese military strategist Sun Tzu and also can be found aka is the foundation of the theory behind Martial Arts (as in Martial Arts the key technique is about using the weight of the counterparty in/for your own benefit). As similar example the art of sailing can be used: sailors don't only sail by tailwind, they also sail by headwinds: sailing against headwinds is just a matter of tactics.

How to do that? Multiplying efforts by external power is the name of the game. In practice: By leveraging assets in the financial markets in these three nations (in a 1 to 33 ratio) and than use these 33 times bigger funds to support trade transactions with Iran. We have made an elaborated plan for this, that could be used almost instantly and will deliver the multiplying quickly. We will use Wallstreet banks and their greed driven behaviour to do it fast. The naming of the entity doing the deal with Wallstreet will just be general Arabic (so they can't ban/sanction it). Don't get damaged further by this financial imperialism. Just use/turn the forces against Iran, in helping Iran further.

So for example \$ 1.5 billion will be used to get another \$ 48.5 billion of Wallstreet. It can be leveraged very quickly to 33 times untill \$ 50 billion. See the fund as a way to use the Wallstreet interests in a reversed way. This way not against Iran, but this time for Iran. Using the Wallstreet system for the Iranian people instead.

This can be done fast and easily as the US Dollar is in it last phase and FED is 'printing' dollars at a fast as seen never before. Funds that aren't public but are used to prop up the US main banks like JP Morgan Chase, Bank of America, Citi Group, etc, which all without this created out of nothing interest free money would have been collapsed already (a more clear example

that the dollar is just a digital illusion that loots the global economy is almost not possible). They borrow at 0.0 till 0.25% and lend it out against a higher interest (some around the 5%) at anyone that could deliver any somewhat reasonable case. External collaterals are not needed if a) the funding partner is well known and b) the funding story is good, than the own funding will be the collateral against itself (by /due a compartmented model). We propose to be bold and use the really moral lost/bad guys like Goldman Sachs as funding partner (which covers point a): their heartless/moralless greed will drive them to serve us. They will require a funding fee somewhere around 1%, we don't care as they will deliver to the fund \$ 48 billion extra funds against a cost price of \$ 0.5 billion.

Our funding story is ok (too long to describe in this short email: but is beyond good and they will love it). They will do it in a week. We will do the same process over and over again as long Iranian industry needs foreign capital. We will not do business with the sanctioned Iranian businesses. But with the use of middle companies, any general industry deal is possible: it just has to suit the formal text of the sanctions. The fund will finance any barter deal Iranian industry/businesses/farmers need. So much for fractional reserve based banking system and the usury/looting that it has built in. We take the weapon they use against the people and make it a tool for the people. The fund is a proxy for Iran's foreign financial interests: it will make barters deals instantly possible.

We will directly set aside the initial \$ 1.5 billion so that even if the fund is seized the risk for Iran is zero. All contracts will also be of that kind that by a seizure the seizers will not succeed in their grabbing. As said earlier it's basically using the imperial currency of the US for the benefit of Iran by abusing the system in a smart way.

We serve Iran transparent. Iran can authorize each transaction by proxy control. So no trust is needed (trust is a fiction in the financial world): full control is delivered.

We have advised China in a similar way and they did it this way: China is now benefiting instead of suffering of the FED money creation madness. Using the last phase of the USD as global reserve currency in their benefit: using it to buy up as many hard assets they can set their hands on. The paper money system is collapsing. Iran should not be hurt by that, but profiting by that. Just as China did and still does. On request we can explain in detail what we've advice China as reversed mechanism. Due the actions they have take China can no longer been hurt financial by the USD: they now use the USD in their game of building real foreign assets instead of worthless US Gov Debt paper denominated in USD. I can explain Iran the same model to this, as I did to China.

The fund name will be Islamic or Arabic Trade Services. With this fund Iran will compete with Doha and Dubai. As long as the general sanctions against Iran are in place the fund will not have official for the outside world notable ties with the Iranian Administration.

The external funding story will be: The Islamic/Arabic World is emerging at fast pace. There's a huge culture gap between the Arabic World and the Western World (too much to mention: language, writing system, clothes, holidays, weekly free days, religion, food, traditions, customs, manners, morals, leisure, society, etc, etc). The fund wants to bridge this gap by facilitating transactions between Western supply/demand and Arabic supply/demand. Furthermore there are within the Arabic World huge cultural differences that hinder fast/seamless trade within the Arabic World. The fund will intermediary act also in inter Arabic World transactions of supply and demand. The management is for the outside world is Western style, the practice is Shariah compliance.

The practice will be: We will offer nations energy, water, food and industry technology. Economic development based on the theories of Friedrich List (https://www.google.com/search?q=friedrich+list) and his National System for Innovation (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/National_innovation_system). List understood that a nation needs its own industry to maintain/secure its wealth.

For example: We go to Chinese solar manufacturers and we offer them a) buying their

production stock, b) buying their financial stock and c) buying a copy factory for abroad of them. Their stock will double in a month. We get the production stock and the foreign copy factory for free. It's a safe bet, as part of the deal is that we can pay the production stock and the copy factory with the financial stock (and we will only do so as the goods are in our procession: so the deal is 100% safe. Etc.

For any major product that's now imported we buy shares in a foreign manufacturer and than will copy the technology to Iran. The Chinese State owned conglomerate CHTGC follow the same model in her diversification process. It's the fastest way to get high quality industrial output for the world market.

Official the fund (better said: the funds) will facilitate transaction handling between the Global East and the Global West, between the Global East and the Global South, between the Global East and North Africa, and between the Global East and the Middle East. They will not finance at own risk, but due their capital position they will be able to facilitate escrow transactions. Also transactions that are underwritten by Global East and Middle East state funds will be handled (as their guarantees are the only real guarantees that are still out there in this financial turbulence in the Western World).

The fund will use money creation gone wild in \$, \pounds and ¥ use for development of the economy and autonomy of Iran. Target is creating a better life for the people of Iran. They have too long suffered under dollar drive imperialism (aka: they have long enough suffered under the imperial tax which the dollar in it's nature is since 1994: a mechanism of the USA to tax the wealth creation of the whole world to prop up the wealth of the US financial elite).

The target is to connect Iran economic to the Global West, the Global East, the Global South and Middle East. Economic interconnection based on mutual interests and not abusive like the empires has done (aka like the USA does today). Symbiosis with parasitism. And the beauty of it: it will be powered by the same power that was responsible for the global looting: the dollar. We reverse the influence of the dollar with the help of the dollar and greed behind the dollar itself.

The fund will be focused on sustainable development, but that's not more than obvious: non sustainable development is no development at all.

As said in our conversation with Mr. Gharibabadi it's important to act, as till now the global imperialists have gotten almost every nation they wanted to have. Breaking the NWO agenda (by enforcing smart actions/strategies of Iran) is something we all want: as it will stop the NWO global agenda and Iran could become the nation that broke the back of the NWO by action/strategy. We all should not underestimate the NWO, but make of Iran the stone they will break their teeth on while trying to crush it. Not by power, but by smart action/strategy. The huge USP of Iran is proud. Proud enables resistance and smart action.

Maybe we should explain both this Part 2 (reversing anti-Iran financial climate) and also Part 1 (reversing anti-Iran propaganda climate) detailed. I understand that you will be busy the important UN conference that takes place in The Hague this weeks, but I hope you will find time to assess this proposal and if you like it and redirect it to those within MFA that could judge/assess it too on it's value for Iran and well-being the Iranian people.

Gijs.

PS: I attach the "Iran – Breaking the General Sanctions – Full Comprehensive Diagram" as PDF file: it shows all our models comprehensive in just one file.

'Breaking the General Santions and Preventing War towards Iran by Instantly/Directly Changing the Global Public Opinion on Iran (aka: Part 1 of our Defense Proposal for Iran)'

As discussed in our General Assessment, the General Sanctions are just the beginning of the blue print aka template the imperial powers have for occupying the nations that bug them (as in: refuse to act as client states). Other parts of the 'template' they use are fuelling ethnic conflicts, creating civil war, apposing no fly zones in the airspace of nations etc.

As discussed in our meeting on the Embassy in The Hague it's not wise to underestimate the efforts nor impacts of enemy. Therefore actions of the enemy should be eliminated (and if possible 180 degrees diverted) by smart counter measures.

This email covers/describes Part One of our Proposal for Iran and has as goal to change the global public opinion on Iran in a way that any support for warmongering against Iran disappears as snow in the sun. Peace and sovereignty are not only delivered by armies: they are most of all delivered (or destroyed) by the global public opinion.

As discussed in our General Assessment on the General Sanctions we think it's wise/smart/effective to tear down the general sanctions by something the enemy can't oppose in any way (even how badly they want that). We have chosen to use the solar energy case to hammer the first brick out of the sanctions wall aka to make the first hole in the dike/levee/dam (after which 'the water' aka normal economy will totally wash away the rest if the dike/levee/dam). Solar energy NOBODY can oppose, by that it's the perfect hammer to punch the first hole in the dike/levee/dam.

We propose to do 4 media campaigns in a role. Each next one will start as the last one is echoed out. We will use proxies to handle both the publishing and media contacts. We will not use directly media companies, but will use third party organisations with an agenda that suites the specific character of the campaign.

The first campaign is using the solar energy case: As there can absolute no opposition against this message it will open up the global opinion on Iran. We will not run this campaign by ourselves but will use a renewable energy promoting organization in the USA to do so. The ad can be delivered in other nations too. The last line can be changed, but if that's wise/smart is the question as this campaign backfires the US imperial energy agenda super.

The second campaign is about 1953: It's just a white page with one line on it: the google query for Operation Ajax. This will bring the discussion on the CIA overthrowing/undermining democratic elected governments elephant big into the US media realm and public discussion. It will deliver internal resistance within the USA on the agenda. We will not run/operate this agenda ourselves but will use IPS-DC (an anti imperial wars institute in Washington DC with quite an impressive anti CIA history) to do this for us (or any other similar organization can be used for this).

The third campaign is about the cultural differences between Iran and the West: It will bring Iran in the centre of the Middle East, delivering Iran leadership in overcoming those differences. The campaign could be operated by one of the Iranian Business Associations.

The fourth campaign is one with quotes on important people who served the imperial agenda of the USA and stepped out. It's about the fact that the empire only serves special elite interests and is bad for the people of it's homeland. The campaign could be operated by ISP-DC again (or any other organization). We haven't made this fourth campaign proposal yet.

The fifth campaign is about the similarities between Iran and the West: To quote out of a speech made by the Iranian Ambassador to Holland: "Iran has been able to reach great achievements in various fields such as: scientific, medical, nanotechnology, stem cells, aerospace and satellites. Nowadays, the Islamic Republic of Iran enjoys many intangible assets in the fields of education, health, science and technology, industry, mining, agriculture, energy and management, which are more important than our petroleum and gas resources. It is worthy to know that 3 millions of students are studying in Iranian universities which more than 65% are female. There are more than 13000 of female professors at Iranian universities." The campaign could be operated by one of the Iranian Business Associations. We haven't made this

fifth campaign proposal yet.

These 5 campaigns will can the public opinion in the USA severely. Undermining the global agenda and ditto propaganda of the imperial elite. Driving America's imperial agenda both in the USA as global back to where it belongs: getting nowhere. Using third parties just make the case bigger/wider/stronger: they will play their specific violins to the max. We first had a media design that was more focused on provoking media rejections (and getting huge publicity by those rejections). We decided that the above described strategy is of such power that the earlier discussed more legal conflict focused method (direct CIA exposing/attacking in some of the ads, provoking media not to run the ad and than fight legal cases against them based on their freedom of information legacy with all the media exposure that would deliver) was not needed. As the above described peaceful strategy will deliver the wanted change in US/global public opinion on Iran and will totally wash out / undermine the support for the general sanctions without any conflict or tensions, why would we than ignite any legal conflicts? It's the effect that counts, their should our satisfaction come from.

Although we've chosen the clean not conflict way, yet we challenge the USA somewhat on it's own territory (internal and external propaganda) and yet we place/draw indirect huge question marks on US policies by this campaigns, challenging the self righteousness and lack of self reflection in US media and public opinion. That delivers enough tension by our counter parties. This Plan One is certainly not about expressing self righteous of Iran. It's full targeted on / dedicated to changing the global public opinion on Iran and by that tearing down the wall of the general sanctions. And yes, it will bring the needed public discussion on the imperial agenda of the USA full blown into the US public debate. We like that (very much), but we should blind ourselves with it. We don't want to influence policies of foreign for any outside benefit or interests, we just want that they honour Iran's sovereignty. And yes, we'll fight the imperial agenda with the same tools they use (media exposure): The only difference is that we don't want to deliver manipulative propaganda, but just feed the people information and food for thought. We know that this will do the job (as common people are not bad, but just the imperial aka foreign nations looting agendas are).

Each ad campaign will have a PR (media text/material) campaign attached to it. The campaign handling organizations will handle all media interviews and media appearances too. Multiplying efforts by proxy. Again. Just as described in the Finance Model (Part Two of our Proposal for Iran) too. Each campaign will have a beside the print version also an online version (with banner ads and google ads). Don't mind the layout, not the typos in the text: the attachments are draft versions: just made to deliver impressions of campaign directions.

Good globalization is having a equal place for everyone on the global table in a voluntary interconnected world. Not about the whole world serving some US and UK elite. I know for sure that exposing the grip that private interests have on the State Departments and Departments of Defense of the US and the UK will have the same effect on public support for the general sanctions as the sun has on snow. Let's expose their overall looting agenda. Not only the world is looted by the printing of abundance dollars, their own people are looted too (empires tax their homeland to dead too as these special interests don't care about the life of common people anywhere). Let's make the common people friends/supporters of Iran's wish/right of self determination.

The funding will be done as described in earlier communication (cashing delayed payments by the solar case, turning Iranian hold US treasuries into cash with the help of the Chinese Central Bank and/or new deliveries).

I hope Iran will like this Part One of our Proposal for Iran and that it will break down the general sanctions as intended. The campaigns will run in the USA and the UK (as their elites are the key imperial powers that wants to loot Iran again by making it a client state of their looting joint empire).

This plan cuts of the global public support for bad powers in the US and the UK. That's what needed. This plan could deliver it.

IRAN'S WAY OUT OF THE SANCTIONS



t Dealering to directory / Open and Francis Francis

Any Import Replacing Industry / General Export Factories



Analyzing the General Sanctions

General sanctions can be seen as hard economic warfare not against nations but against the people of nations. General sanctions are mostly quite counter productive. They don't lower the approval ratings of the governments are aimed as targets, but rather increase their approval ratings. General sanctions unite the people under their governments towards those who sanctioned them (most people are not that fond of being bullied around by external powers). General sanctions deliver the opposite of that what is aimed for by the sanction developers and enforcers. Just like military wars should not 'played' out in civil areas, but should target military objects (aka: may not hurt the innocent people much), governmental wars should follow the same moral standard, they should target governments and not the common people. General sanctions are not only counterproductive, they are immoral too: they don't target and thereby hit the governments, they hit the ordinary people which are just trying to live an ordinary life.

Addressing the Stalled Payments Issue

The payments of some pre sanctions era deliveries of Iranian companies towards Western companies are not paid by the Western companies yet. This is caused by the sanctions. This delivers a mutual not wanted situation: the goods delivering party hasn't got the payment and the good receiving party is confronted with the not small contractual penalties on late payments. Both parties are caught in the policies of their respective governments and are not able to solve this issue. We can solve this for both in a way that can not aka therefore will not be sanctioned. Our solution is turning these stalled payments into PV solar power device purchases and deliver them (with an offline/online environment) to the Iranian people directly. Our solution satisfies the sanction creators, not full by heart, but they can't oppose it as it delivers an alternative for the nuclear energy ambitions of Iran, something they can't oppose without losing the trust of the people of their nations. Our solution uses global PR to the max, making it for the sanctions issuing governments impossible to block these deals. The result will be a funded/real new fresh wave of enthusiasm/optimism in Iran too. The result will be that Iran will become instantly the number one solar nation in the world (purchasing 10% of the global PV production in 2013). We will deliver 2 PeakWatt PV per E 1 (and will try to do that even per \$ 1). This will result in an at least 3 GW = 3 BW (billion watt) roll-out throughout Iran. It will deliver rural development all over Iran. It will help in decentralize the energy model (making it operational less vulnerable). It will deliver Iran a global state of the art in tech and green imago. It will make Iran a global leading nation in the green energy revolution. It will make the Iranian people pride and united them. It will deliver weekly scheduled rail links from Iran towards all the important supply/demand markets of Iran. Delivering Iran economic trails/cooperation deep into the EurAsian continent. Lifting the isolation dangers of sea transport by making it export transport redundant by setting up rail links too. It will detoxify the global propaganda against Iran with a smart/effective PR/media campaign that undermines all the imperialistic propaganda successful. It will tearing down the forced isolation of Iran in the global economy. It will delivering a more multi polar global political/economic order. Solar investments will reduce the internal hydrocarbon consumption leaving more of it available for global trade/export in return of hard cash needed to build/diversify the economy. By that the monetary health of the Rial also will improve significant. The addressing the stalled payments model will deliver instant import in PV solar panel installations, but also a direct start of setting up multiple solar panel factories (producing the needed solar crystals, the solar cells made of these crystals, the solar modules made of these solar cells). Plus it will deliver an online/offline distribution and full Shariah compliance finance model to get the solar installation as quick as possible in all the houses of all streets in Iran based on a 3 year payment model. At the end of the program Iran will be an exporter instead of importer of solar panels throughout the whole Middle East, Russia, Africa and Europe. Iranian people will have just one other facet to be proud of regarding to their nation. We designed the stalled payments model in a way that the links with both the sanctioned NIOC and CBI are transferred to dedicated entities (first to one 'debt collecting' and from that entity towards one 'energy transition') in a way it makes it legal possible to punch the first hole in the sanction wall by getting this stalled payments liquid

again. Those two between entities are the legal design to overcome the sanctions in a way the payments no longer can be stalled. It has build in 'sanction' effect model that satisfies the sanctions issuing nations. How? NIOC gets in the public contract only 70% of the payment, so the sanctions issuers will smile, as they think that they have damaged NIOC, but a non public side letter delivers NIOC still the rest of the payment too. So the model will give the sanctions issuers some fake satisfaction on their sanctions, while they don't know NIOC gets the full 100%. The stalled payments model has a global PR/media campaign too. The purpose of this campaign is portraying the Iranian people as young and well educated. Something like the text the Ambassador to Holland spoke out (http://iranianembassy.nl/en/akhbar/35.html). Plus also filling in the information the people of the Western World has not received of their media like information on http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/1953 Iranian coup d%27%C3%A9tat. This all will be presented in one page advertisements in one leading newspaper in all important (aka: sanctions supporting) nations. These advertisements and their content will be discussed in all media (multiplier effect) and re-shape public opinion overnight (nothing changes opinion faster than public disclosure aka mainstream media exposure of propaganda lies). The target is to change public opinion regarding Iran by giving then actual facts instead of anti-Iran propaganda they've received in abundance till now.


Addressing the New Trade Issues

After we have shot a hole in the sanctions wall by addressing the stalled payments issue, we work the sanctions wall more down by our model for addressing the new trade issues while staying within the borders of the sanctions and undermining by global PR the global public/political support for the sanctions severely. We will deliver trade deals both by land (rail link: products) as sea (commodities) in exchange for deliver of products/factories to Iran. As we're in our nations focused economic designs driven by the economic theories of Friedrich List (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Friedrich List) and his National System of Innovation (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/National_System_of_Innovation) we believe strongly in building manufacturing that eliminates the need for imports. Real globalization can only be achieved when there's a level playing field and not by granting Western multinationals without any conscience nor sustainable prosperity for all agenda any counterweight. Therefore the deals we made are always of combination of product deliveries at start, while simultaneously starting building national production facilities for those products. We're fully focused on the people's interest, so we don't do military, nor nuclear, nor information routing, nor chemical technology. Aka we prevent products that could destroy the gate in the sanction wall we've create. We can't handle these products as the would destroy our model of tearing down the general sanctions and by that serving the general people's interests. The result of our actions will be general prosperity and peaceful cooperation. We also deliver weekly scheduled rail links from Iran towards all the important supply/demand markets of Iran. Delivering Iran economic trails/cooperation deep into the EurAsian continent. More on the heartland economic cohesion theory (and why the US and the UK dislike it: it delivers a world they can't control) can be found at https://www.google.com/search?q=heartland+theory+mackinder. It will diversify and thereby enforces the Iranian economy tremendously. Examples are Desert Power/Water plants near to desert side cities, combined de-desertification and food methods, mining truck factories, bus/coach factories, etc, etc, etc, etc, etc. Any general prosperity focused factory could be realized within this model. The model will be adopted by other nations too: making Iran a guide land aka example nation towards other nations their peaceful economic development. The combined model fits into the current development of power shift from the Western Nations towards the Global East and Global West. Something the Global West is trying to slow down aka prevent as much as possible. A Western dominated world is something of the past, how much the West that dislikes: it's the way it goes right now. The proposed model delivers a multi polar world where the Western dominance in not trade in for Eastern dominance, but delivers a stable multi polar world. Something that's very much in the interest of the nations of the Middle East as they are bossed around too long by foreign powers (for details on that: see the books of F. William Engdahl).

IRAN GOES SOLAR




PV - On (Exact Date Here) Iran will sign a contract for 10 BW (billion peak watt) of solar power, at a value of E 5 billion. This biggest deal ever in the solar market representing 33% of the current global solar panel production per year and (due to the sunny climate in Iran) 50% of the solar power production. This makes Iran the global forerunner on the implementation of green energy. Part of the solar panels will be imported from China, a part of the solar panels will be produced in Iran by production facilities based on joint ventures with Chinese PV manufacturers. The deal is on pure on PV solar panels kits (panels and their DC/AC inverters): photo voltaic cells that make power out of sunlight. The contract delivers Iran a decentral power production model: the roof and walls of each building will become a small power plant. Delivering not only clean energy, but also energy security with less pressure on national grids. The investment is only E 0.5 per 1 PeakWatt capacity which delivers in the sun rich Iran more 2 kWh each year (making this deal 50% of the new global PV output as the panels in Iran will receive above global average sun light intensity). This investment will deliver 20 billion kWh per year to Iran. This deal makes solar power first time in the industrial era significant cheaper than normal grid power direct at the locations of demand, using the grid as online battery. The investment is earned back in less 1 to 2 years (depending on the local kWh price of the installation location) and than will deliver for almost 2 decades costless energy. Plain cost price economics that will drive power generation at fast pace away from central coal and nuclear power plants. An online/offline demand concentration web environment is included, which takes care that all deliveries are directly installed within a month throughout Iran.



CPS - Also a deal based on another solar energy technology is still in negotiation but close to finishing. This investment covers also 20 BW (billion watt per year) and is in Desert Power also known as Concentrated Solar Power or CSP and has also a value of E 5 billion. CPS is not photo voltaic based solar like PV, but just uses the thermal specification of sunlight: it harvest the warmth of sunlight and boils water to steam by that. CPS has the same average price as PV, but it also desalinates seawater to sweet water, which is a huge facet for those local water deficient areas. Huge areas of mirrors in the desert heats water just like burning coal case in a cause whether and these techniques which makes water just like burning coal. does in power plants and the stream generated by this drives the turbines which makes power. As many water is needed those plants will be located in near sea deserts and they produce besides power also sweet water. So CPS sites are combined power generation and water desalination plants. Delivering large volumes of electricity and water. CPS is not a decentral, but a more centralized model to generate power to support the electricity and water demand of large cities in the neighbourhood of deserts.





We're sorry that we're so different...

language writing system clothes holidays year counting weekly free days religion food names traditions customs manners morals leisure society family values music movies history

Yes, there's a huge culture gap, we know.

To be honest: we feel somewhat the same.

The Arabic World and the Western World are so different.

etc.

But many differences are just cultural expressions. There shouldn't be a global dominant culture right? Diversity makes the world beautiful and strong.

Not all things of any given culture are super. Self reflection is something we all should practice.



Iran orders 10BW of Solar Power

On (Exact Date Here) Iran will sign a contract for 10 BPW (billion peak watt) of solar power, at a value of E 5 billion, delivering in the sunlight rich Iran 20 billion kWh (aka 20 trillion watt) fuel less green energy a year. This biggest deal ever in the solar market representing 33% of the current global solar panel production per year and (due to the sunny climate in Iran) 50% of the new solar power production for 2013. This makes Iran with only one signature the global forerunner on the implementation of green energy. Part of the solar panels will be imported from China, a part of the solar panels will be produced in Iran by production facilities based on joint ventures with Chinese PV manufacturers. The deal is on pure on PV solar panels kits (panels and their DC/AC inverters): photo voltaic cells that make power out of sunlight. The contract delivers Iran a decentral power production model: the roof and walls of each building will become a small power plant. Delivering not only clean energy, but also energy security with less pressure on national grids. The investment is only E 0.5 per 1 PeakWatt capacity which delivers in the sun rich Iran more 2 kWh each year. as the panels in Iran will receive above global average sun light intensity this deal represents 50% of the new global PV output. This deal makes solar power first time in the industrial era significant cheaper than normal grid power direct at the locations of demand, using the grid as online battery. The investment is earned back in less 1 to 2 years (depending on the local kWh price of the installation location) and than will deliver for almost 2 decades costless energy. Plain cost price economics that will drive power generation at fast pace away from central coal and nuclear power plants. An online/offline demand concentration web environment is included, which takes care that all deliveries are directly installed within a month throughout Iran.

Another deal with Iran based on another type of solar energy technology is still in negotiation but close to finishing. This second deal is about CPS or Concentrated Solar Power, also known as Desert Power. This CPS investment plan covers 10 large 1 Billion kWh per year each CPS plants in the Iranian deserts, delivering together 10 billion kWh aka 10 TW (trillion or terra watt) per year. The initial investment for those CPS plants per kWh/year capacity is similar to PV. Just like PV will CPS plants deliver for more two decades power generation without any fuel (coal/gas/oil/nuclear) input. Each CPS plant that delivers 1 billion kWh per year has an initial cost price of E 200 million. Those 10 plants will be an investment of E 20 billion total. CPS technology is total different than the photo voltaic technology based PV technology. PV is mostly building attached on the location of power demand, CPS on the other hand is always located in deserts somewhat away of the urban power consuming environment. CPS uses the thermal specification of sunlight; it harvest the warmth of sunlight and boils water to steam (instead of coal/oil/gas/nuclear) and that steam drives the power generating turbines. CPS has one huge advantage on PV: it also desalinates seawater to sweet water for free as side product. This is a huge important facet for those local water deficient areas. Although CPS needs no fuel (beside seawater), it has more maintenance costs than PV (PV is almost maintenance free) and the seawater must be brought to the plant by a dedicated pipeline. The generated power and water must also be transported (PV produces on demand locations: no transport needed: the grid is only used as an 'online battery'). The additional sweet water production justifies these extra maintenance costs and extra investments in the needed seawater pipelines, sweetwater pipelines and powerlines (which all three demands of course surveillance and maintenance). The CPS plants therefore will be located in deserts only 10 kilometre away of the electricity/water demanding cities. Huge areas of mirrors in the desert heat water just like burning coal does in power plants and the stream generated by this drives the turbines which makes power. As many sea water is needed for those plants, they will be located in near sea. So CPS plants are combined power generation and water desalination plants. Delivering large volumes of electricity and water. CPS is not a decentral, but a more centralized model to generate power to support the electricity and water demand of large cities in the neighbourhood of deserts.

SunIndus is a not for profit global company focused on large solar projects and is founded by Planck Foundation using the huge network PF has build with its economic and monetary analyses and models the last decade in many nations. SI is a new type of global company with an asymmetric structure (aka not hierarchical and has no headquarters) which combines function specific units. This structure limits the need for overhead fees severely. Delivering at

lowest cost 21st century energy by a 21st century organization. The project team of the 10 B PW PV deal with Iran is located in Bern in Switzerland. SI doesn't produce PV or CPS themselves, nor operates the projects, nor operates the national organizations, SI just organizes the realization of these projects by global financial and technological project management. Several other MENA (Middle East North Africa) nations are in negotiation with SI regarding similar PV and/or CPS deals. The result will be less pollution, more health, more prosperity, more security and less energy scarcity driven wars.

This PR text will be distributed to all media on the world by PR agencies. Per nation there will be one trained spokesmen made available (for reasons of strategy not in the embassies nor consulates).

In all the leading newspapers of the world also will appear an one page big ad describing this (as a method for global media attention security).